RGBSI Aerospace & Defense

Engineering a Connected Future ®








Production Part Approval Process (PPAP) Training



CUI: DISTRIBUTION C RULES

All prints contained within the JLTV Technical Data Package are <u>marked</u> with a distribution C Statement, making them subject to CUI Regulations.

Under No Circumstances should any person send a part or snippets of a part print over email, instant messaging, or other forms of unsecured communication tools.






Si Production Part Approval Process

<u>**Definition:**</u> The Automotive Industry Action Group (AIAG) Production Part Approval Process (PPAP) is an industry standard that outlines the process to demonstrate engineering design and product specifications are met by the supplier's manufacturing process. PPAP principles help reduce delays and non-conformances during part approval by providing a consistent approval process.

<u>**Purpose:**</u> "To provide the evidence that all customer engineering design records and specification requirements are properly understood by the organization and that the manufacturing process has the potential to produce product consistently meeting these requirements during an actual production run at the quoted production rate." (*AIAG PPAP Manual 4th Edition*)







PPAP Resources

This training will provide direction on compiling a PPAP package using AIAG PPAP Manual 4th edition, JLTV Specific Requirements, and the AMG PPAP Workbook: Supplier Quality Guidelines.

The AM General (AMG) PPAP workbook includes all 18 elements in their respective forms, including instructions on accurate and thorough completion of required documentation. RGBSI A&D is honored to partner with AMG to help guide suppliers through PPAP and answer any questions that may arise.

Unless specifically stated, all requirements of AIAG PPAP Manual 4th edition apply







PPAP Resources

- AIAG PPAP Manual 4th Edition
- JLTV PPAP Requirements Attachment 0164
- JLTV Special Characteristics Attachment 0163
- AM General Supplier Quality Manual
 - AM General Fastener Requirements
 - AM General Weld Requirements
 - AM General Paint/Coating Requirements
 - AM General Armor Material Requirements
 - AM General Radiographic Inspection Requirements
- Additional Commodity-specific JLTV requirements may apply






AM General Resources

AM General (AMG) provides resources to all suppliers to ensure that PPAP packages provided to AMG will be standardized. These resources are provided on AMG's official website:

AM General Supplier Resources

Resources are listed under "Quality & Engineering":

- Supplier Quality Manual
- PPAP Workbook: Supplier Quality Guidelines
- Coating System Assessment
- Supplier Reliability Assurance Requirements





PPAP Approval Types

Interim PPAP approvals may be granted to authorize a supplier permission to ship for a limited period or in a limited quantity. Interim Approval will only be granted when the organization has both:

- 1. Clearly defined the non-compliances preventing approval
- 2. Prepared an action plan agreed upon by AM General

Interim approvals require action plans in place to meet full production PPAP approval and must be agreed to by AMG Supplier Quality. A supplier must submit both a Part Submission Warrant (PSW) and an Interim Recovery Worksheet for materials in need of Interim approval.

All interim approvals require action plans in place to achieve full PPAP approval within 120 days.


Element	Description	Interim	Full
		Level 3	Level 3
1	Design Record	Х	Х
2	Authorized Engineering Change Documents	Х	Х
3	Customer Engineering Approval (if required)	Х	Х
4	Design Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (Design FMEA)		Х
5	Process Flow Diagram(s)		Х
6	Process Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (Process FMEA)		Х
7	Control Plan		X
8	Measurement Systems Analysis (MSA) Studies		Х
9	Dimensional Results	X	Х
10	Records of Material / Performance Test Results	X	Х
11	Initial Process Studies		X
12	Qualified Laboratory Documentation	X	Х
13	Appearance Approval Report (AAR)		Х
14	Sample Production Parts	X	Х
15	Master Sample (Actual or Picture)	X	Х
16	Checking Aids	X	Х
17	Customer Specific Requirements, i.e. Component First Article Test (CFAT) Results.		Х
18	Part Submission Warrant	X	Х



PPAP Levels and Elements

AM General requires that <u>all suppliers</u> submit a Level 3 PPAP package for JLTV production.

All parts shall achieve Full or Interim (on an exception basis) PPAP Approval to the requirements specified herein. Note, that AM General is **NOT** authorized to waive or modify any PPAP requirement without Government approval for the JLTV Program.




Element	Level 1	Level	Level 3	Level 4	Level
1 Design Records	R	S	S	•	R
- For proprietary components/details	R	R	R	•	R
- For all other components/details	R	s	s	•	R
2. Engineering Change Documents	R	s	S	•	R
3. Customer Engineering Approval	R	R	S	0	R
4. Design Failure Mode & Effect Analysis	R	R	S	0	R
5. Process Flow Diagrams	R	R	S	0	R
6. Process Failure Mode & Effect Analysis	R	R	S	0	R
7. Process Control Plan	R	R	s	•	R
8. Measurement System Analysis Studies	R	R	s	•	R
9. Dimensional Results	R	s	S	Ō	R
10. Material, Performance, Test Results	R	s	S	•	R
11. Initial Process Studies	R	R	S	•	R
12. Qualified Laboratory Documentation	R	s	S	•	R
13. Apperance Approval Report (AAR)	S	s	S	•	R
14. Sample Product	R	s	S	0	R
15. Master Sample	R	R	R	0	R
16. Checking Aids	R	R	R	0	R
17. Records of Compliance for Customer Requirements	R	R	S	•	R
18. Part Submission Warrant (PSW)	S	S	S	S	S
Bulk Material Checklist	S	s	S	S	S
	,	S - Subn	nit to the c	ustomer.	s

* - Retain at manufacturing location and submit to the customer if requested



JLTV PPAP Workflow

The following training material provides instruction for the completion of all level 3 PPAP requirements for the JLTV program, including the definition, purpose, and how to satisfy requirements of each element defined by the *AIAG PPAP Manual 4th edition*. A sample PPAP workbook has been provided as a part of this training as guidance for accurate PPAP completion.






DSi PPAP Submission Requirements

The submission requirements sheet contains specific PPAP instructions and submission requirements for JLTV Production, including the conditions of an interim PPAP approval.

ISSION READY * F			lluto of		X	aı	m	pl	e
Supplier Name	ALL PPAP SUBMISSIONS must be su	urchase Order No.	o ec	JLIVI					
Supplier Name Supplier Code		eason for Request	0						
Part Name		pplication	0						
Part Number		ate issued	_						
Revision		ubmission Due Date							
	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED IN WRITING BY AM GEN		LALITY	DED	DESER	TATA	/E I SO	E) ·	_
UNLESS	Default submission is Level 3	TERME SUFFEIER Q	UALIIT	KEF		107 VI 107	ion Le		_
	PPAP Submission Requirements and Detail Deso	rinting		1	2	3	4	5	Р
0a) PPAP Covershe		a special i		s	s	S	S	S	S
0b) Part Submission	SIX		\dashv	S	S	S	S	S	S
	Bubble Print all features, notes, and specifications)		\dashv	R	S	S	S	S	S
	the state of the s		\dashv	-				-	
Engineering or Su	pplier Change Request (AMG Process Change Notifical	tion) - if applicable		R	S	S	S	R	S
3) Customer Engine	ering Approval - if applicable.		\neg	R	R	S	S	R	S
4) Design Failure Mo	des Effects Analysis (DFMEA) - supplier design respon	sible	\neg	R	R	S	S/R/O	R	S
5) Process Flow Dia	gram (PFD)		\neg	R	R	s	S/R	R	S
6) Process Failure M	odes Effects Analysis (PFMEA)			R	R	S	S/R	R	S
7) Process Control P	lan			R	R	S	S	R	S
8) Measurement Sys	tem Analysis (MSA) - Measurement equipment must be	supported with MSA.		R	R	S	S	R	R
9) Dimensional Resu	lts - 6 Piece full layout required. (Prototype quantities	SQE defined)		R	S	S	s	R	S
Performance, Paint F	nance Test Results. PRINT NOTES: Material, Surface F Process, Coating, Welding Documentation IE WPS/PQF ort etc. And all Certificates of Conformance Related to S	Rs/Welder Certs, Platin	g.	R	w	s	S	R	S
characteristics, and i	udies - Must be provided for all print, specification, AMC internal supplier deemed critical characteristics. (Addition on 6 pc. dimensional layout results standard deviation a	nal process studies ma	aybe	R	R	co.	S	R	S
12) Qualified Labora	toryDocumentation. (Internal and or 3rd Party required	for all tests conducted	.)	R	S	S	S/R	R	R
13) Appearance App	roval Report (AAR) - i fapplicable			R	S	S	S/R/O	R	S
14) PPAP Sample P	roduct-PTR Production Trial Run parts/upon request p	rior to production order		S	S	S	S	R	R
15) Master Sample (Submit/Retain Photo Documentation of PPAP layout pa	rt(s) Retain Part.		R	R	S	S/R	R	R
16) Checking Aids (F	oture, gage, template, etc) - if applicable			R	R	S	S/R/O	R	R
17) Records of Com	oliance with Customer Specific Requirements. If applica	ible (CQI, Capacity, Etc	2)	R	В	S	S/R	R	R
18a) Part Submissio	n Warrant (PSW)		\neg	S	S	S	S/R	R	S
18b) Interim Part Sul	omission Warrant (PSW) - if applicable		\neg	R	R	S	S/R	R	R
Bulk Materials F	Refer to AIAG PPAP 4th ed. Table 4.1 and Appendix F		\neg						_

Fill out cells not auto-populated. Review submission requirements.




All documents shall be submitted in AM General or AIAG approved format.




AMG PPAP Workbook

The information sheet must be filled out with accurate information about the manufacturer, authorized personnel, material information, production trial run (PTR) quantity, and customer information. This sheet will be used to auto-fill information throughout the PPAP package.

AM General Supplier Resources







0. PPAP Cover Sheet

<u>Definition</u>: The PPAP Cover Sheet must be attached with the PPAP package with information for the PPAP part, submission date, and the type of PPAP approval.

Purpose: Provide information needed to identify the PPAP and its status

PPAP PART NUMBER:	2584771	(1)
PPAP PART REVISION LEVEL:	E	2
PPAP PART NAME:	Base, Mounting	3
_		
SDAD GURUITTAL DATE		
PPAP SUBMITTAL DATE: YYYY-MM-D	D 4	
PPAP INTERIM 5	D 4	

- **PPAP Part Number**: The unique identifier assigned to a part. Auto-filled from Information tab.
- **PPAP Part Revision Level:** Identifier of design record revision used. Auto-filled from Information tab.
- **PPAP Part Name:** Nomenclature, descriptive title or label for a part. Autofilled from Information tab.
 - **PPAP Submittal Date:** Date PPAP package was submitted to the customer. Auto-filled from PSW tab.
- 5


PPAP Interim: Specifies that the PPAP package is in an interim status.

- 6
- **PPAP Final**: Specifies that the PPAP package is in a final status.



0. PPAP Cover Sheet

How to: Use the box below to list any elements not required for a specific PPAP submission.




- 7
 - Non-Applicable Elements
 List: List of Elements not required for submission.
- Print Name: Printed name of personnel who completed this form.
- 9
- **Signature**: Signature of personnel who completed this form.
- **Title**: Title of personnel who completed this form.
- 11

Date: Date of when this form is completed by personnel.



0. PPAP Cover Sheet

<u>How to</u>: Ensure information is auto-filled correctly from the Information and PSW tabs. Select PPAP interim or PPAP final depending on the type of approval granted in coordination with AMG Supplier Quality. List all elements that are not required for a specific PPAP Submission.



Element 0 C	over Sheet
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible
Attach this file as element 0 to be the first	Supplier cannot submit a PPAP package
element seen by reviewers.	without its cover sheet.



1. Design Record

<u>Definition</u>: Records of the engineering specifications and requirements, including all physical and digital information, that fully define the product (component, sub-assembly, or assembly).

Purpose: To fully define the part and to be used as a reference throughout the PPAP package.






1. Design Record

How to: Design records are required for every component in the PPAP.

For Bubbled Drawings:

- Add bubbles from the top left to the bottom left in a clockwise direction.
- Ensure to bubble drawing notes first and follow all requirements.
- Attach final bubbled drawings and any standards or specifications, along with uploading any 3D models as required.




Element 1 De	sign Record
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible
Fully released production drawings without water marks.	Advanced released or preliminary documents, or no documents.
For Supplier owned drawings, include both the division "note form" or "word" drawing at minimum.	Misalignment of revision no. with PO and drawing requirements.
A copy of the signed/stamped title block on the supplier drawing. Assembly and detail level drawing also included.	Experimental/Development PO (even if listed as a "placeholder" for production), Advanced Procurement PO, no evidence of demand forecast or forecast does not match expected volume.
Appropriate revisions across all drawing levels & corresponding to purchase order (PO) revision call-out	



COUSi 2. Engineering Change Documents

<u>Definition:</u> The Engineering Change Document outlines any changes to the design not included in the design record that is implemented on the product, part, or tooling.

Purpose: Provide a record of changes that aren't included in the design record but are needed to address an issue in the design or tooling.





COUSi 2. Engineering Change Documents

How to: The organization shall provide any authorized engineering change documents for those changes not yet recorded in the design record but incorporated in the product, part, or tooling.

If there are no change documents, suppliers must record on the Cover Page that Element 2 is Non-Applicable.



Element 2 Engineering	Change Documents
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible
If authorized by a Government - approved Request for Deviation (RFD), the Government approved redlined drawing shall accompany the PPAP submittal.	Redlined drawings missing or incomplete.




COUSi 3. Customer Engineering Approval

<u>Definition</u>: If required, the supplier shall have evidence of customer engineering approval.

Purpose: Approval of part / assembly design requirements to prepare for production.

How to: If there are no change approval documents, suppliers must record on the Cover Page that Element 3 is Non-Applicable.






1 4. Design Failure Mode & Effects Analysis

For Design Responsible Suppliers Only

Definition: Design Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (DFMEA) looks at the probability of part failure from design and its effect on the intended function of the product. The DFMEA is a living document.

Purpose: A necessary tool used to identify and prioritize risk areas in the design and their mitigation plans prior to volume production. The information in the DFMEA will flow to following elements such as the Process Flow Diagram, PFMEA, and the Control Plan.





1 4. Design Failure Mode & Effects Analysis

For Design Responsible Suppliers Only

How to: The top portion of the DFMEA form provides details for part and supplier information.

Application:	1
Part Number:	2
Part Name:	(3)
Team:	(4)

Design Responsibility:	5
Key Date:	6
Location:	7

DF ME A Number:	8
Prepared by:	9
Date (Orig.)	(10)
Date (Rev.)	(11)

- Application: Specific use or purpose of a process, system, or equipment.
- Part Number: Unique identifier and revision letter assigned to a part.
- Part Name (Nomenclature): Descriptive title or label for a part.
- **Team**: Members involved with initiating, processing, and completing the DFMEA.

- **Design Responsibility:** 5 Authoritative design group for part or system.
- **Key Date**: DFMEA Study 6 Deadline / Milestone Date.
- **Location**: Geographic site where the part is manufactured.
- **DFMEA Number**: Unique identifier for DFMEA Study.

- 10
- 11


- Prepared By: Individual or team who conducted and documented the DFMEA.
- Date (Orig.): Initial Completion DFMEA Completion Date.
- Date (Rev.): Date of most recent revision to DFMEA Document.



1 4. Design Failure Mode & Effects Analysis

For Design Responsible Suppliers Only

How to: The bottom portion of the DFMEA form contains the JLTV DFMEA template. The DFMEA Ratings tab is a reference provided to help suppliers determine the severity, occurrence, and detection rating values.



Severity x Occurrence x Detection = RPN

- Item/Function: The design item or function being addressed. Requirements: The
 - specifications or requirements for the design item. Potential Failure Mode: The
 - way a part or process could potentially fail.
 - Potential Effect(s) Of Failure: Potential failure mode consequences.
- Severity: (Original) Impact of the potential failure mode consequences.
- Characteristics: Key Performance Characteristic Classification Type.

- **Potential Causes/Mechanisms Of Failure**: Potential reasons that lead to a failure.
- **Current Design Controls** Prevention: Controls in place to prevent design failures.
- Occurrence: (Original) Likelihood or probability that a failure mode might happen.
- **Current Design Controls Detection**: Controls in place to detect design failures.
- **Detection**: (Original) Likelihood that the current controls will find a failure.
- RPN: (Original) Risk Priority Number, a numerical value used to quantify risk.

- **Recommended Action: Steps** proposed to reduce or eliminate the risk of failure.
- Responsibility & Target **Completion Date:** Actions Taken Deadline / Milestone.
- Action Taken: Steps that have been implemented to address a potential failure.
- Severity: (Updated) Impact of **27** the potential failure mode consequences.
- Occurrence: (Updated) 28 Likelihood or probability that a failure mode might happen.
- **Detection**: (Updated) Likelihood that the current controls will find a failure.
- **RPN**: (Updated) Risk Priority Number, a numerical value used to quantify risk.




4. Design Failure Mode & Effects Analysis

For Design Responsible Suppliers Only

<u>How to</u>: A completed DFMEA form will include all failure modes, severity, occurrence, detection, and RPN data populated according to AIAG/JLTV design requirements. This includes any mitigated RPN value under Action Results being lower than the RPN of the initial design.

Application:	Bracket on Ship						Design Respons	ibility:		Burea	u of Ship	s	FMEA Number:					12885
Part Number:	2584771		Rev.	E			Key	Date:				7/8/2023	Prepared by:	Jane Doe				
Part Name:	Base, Mounting						Loc	ation:		Wash	ington D	C.	Date (Orig.)					7/5/202
Feam:	John Doe, Jane Doe, Br	ian Doe			•	Ö.							Date (Rev.)					7/8/20
														Action	n Results			
	ľ	T			-	1	T							Action	Kes	uits		
Item/Function	Requirements	Potential Failure Mode	Potential Effect(s) Of Failure	Severity	Characteristics	Potential Causes/Mechanisms Of Failure	Current Design Controls Prevention	Occurrence	Current Design Controls Detection	Detection	RPN	Recommended Action	Responsibility & Target Completion Date	Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	RPN
1/ Interpret Drawing dimensions and tolerances to specifications	ASME Y14.100-2017 ASME Y14.5-2018	Dimensions and Tolerances not interpreted according to spec	Part dimensions are incorrect, production interruption	8		Specification not available	APQP planning process - Obtain and provide drawing specifications	2	Part Production Approval Process verify specifications	1	16							0
2/ Material / Steel Alloy	Alloy 4130, COND F, SAE AMS-S-6758	Incorrect material	Material fails testing, resulting in field failures	7		Incorrect purchasing agreement	Part Drawing verification at time of PO	1	PO verification Material CoC	2	14							0
3/ Remove Sharp edges	No sharp edges on part	Part has sharp edges	Injury to operator or end customer	6		Process design fails to remove sharp edges	PFMEA design to incorporate sharp edge removal process	3	PFMEA Design validation	1	18							0
4/ Electroless Nickle Plating	ASTM B733-04 Type V Class 3	Incorrect plating	Material fails testing, resulting in field failures	8	SC	Process design fails to ensure correct plating process	PFMEA Design to incorporate Electroless Nickle Plating process verification in accordance with requirements	6	PFMEA Design validation	4	192	Implement Reverse PFMEA audit schedule with high frequency Implement Process Audit schedule with high frequency	Quality Manager, Systems	Reverse PFMEA and Process Audit schedules created. Plating process audited via Reverse PFMEA and Process Audits on 1/month frequency for each audit	8	6	1	48
5/ Item Identification	MIL-STD-130 80064 - 004889355 MFR Cage Code	Items not identified per spec	identified, failed PPAP submission, delayed production start	8		Specification not available	APQP planning process - Obtain and provide drawing specifications	2	Part Production Approval Process verify specifications	1	16							0
6/ Part Dimension	250	Part fails to meet dimensional spec	Part dimensions are incorrect, production interruption	8		Tooling failure	PFMEA and Control Plan to mitigate risk	2	PFMEA and Control Plan detection control process	3	48							0

Ensure information is filled correctly. Only fill out if design responsible supplier. Reference DFMEA Ratings provided in tab "4c DFMEA Ratings".



Item 4 identified as
Significant Characteristic



35i 4. Design Failure Mode & Effects Analysis

<u>How to</u>: Non-design responsible suppliers must record on the Cover Page that Element 4 is Non-Applicable.


Pro	oduction Part	Approval	Process
	(PF	PAP)	
	PPAP PART NUMBER:	2584771	
	PPAP PART REVISION LE	VEL: E	
	PPAP PART NAME:	Base, Mounting	
	PPAP SUBMITTAL DATE: 2020	10.20	
	PPAP SUBMITTAL DATE 2030-1	11-23	
	PPAP INTERIM		
	PPAP FINAL X		
	Ne Elements - List below the ELIGIBLE ele L/SUB-CONTRACTORS SHALL COMPLY LISTED BECON		
	Element 2 - Engineer	ing Change Docu	ments
	Element 3 - Engineeri		
	Element 4 - DFMEA -	0 11	
			E.v.o. 100 10
			Examp
	SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE

Element 4 Design Failure Mod	es Effects Analysis (DFMEA)
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible
DFMEA is required at the component level for all parts where the manufacturer is design responsible. This includes product built by the Contractor at the Contractor's facilities.	No DFMEA produced by a producer with design authority.
DFMEA shows risk analysis that addresses design and prior failures from similar	Areas of high risk not addressed with adequate process controls.
Documented evidence of a Design FMEA.	No evidence customer data, prior failures & escapes from a similar design used in
Evidence that document is dynamic and updated based on learning.	Insufficient scope that does not address customer requirements and all potential
Evidence that customer requirements are understood and addressed.	No evidence that critical items, features, severity indexes etc. are transferred to the
Evidence that lessons learned, quality history, standard work etc. are incorporated	
All high RPN, high Severity items are addressed with an adequate action plan or	
Identification of key characteristics.	
Critical characteristics shall be identified, recorded, and implemented with a Severity Rank of 9 or 10.	Critical characteristics that fail to demonstrate a minimum CpK of 1.67, demonstrate a robust Government-approved error proofing system that ensures product conformance, or be subject to 100% inspection.
Significant characteristics shall be identified, recorded, and implemented with a Severity Rank of 5, 6, 7, 8 with a corresponding Occurrence Rank of 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, or 10.	Significant characteristics that fail to demonstrate a minimum CpK of 1.33, demonstrate a robust Government-approved error proofing system that ensures product conformance, or be subject to 100% inspection.



JLTV PPAP Workflow

Next PPAP Element: 5. Process Flow Diagram





5. Process Flow Diagram

<u>Definition</u>: Graphical outline of all steps and sequences of the manufacturing process for a part, from start to finish that meets the customer needs, requirements, and expectations.

<u>Purpose</u>: The Process Flow Diagram is the foundation on which the PFMEA and Process Control Plan are built, providing key insights for evaluating and controlling the process.

_	end: eration □ \ransportation	☐ Inspection	Delay
Step	Operation or Event ○ □ □ □ ▽	Description of Operation or Event	Evaluation and Analysis Methods
10	Д	Turney outing Material to Dieut	Make wiel we coloured in a consultation
10	<u> </u>	Transporting Material to Plant	Material received in warehouse
20		Inspect Material Once Arrived	Visual inspection of material to check for damage
30	V	Store Material Until Use	Material stored in racks inside warehouse
40		Bring Material to Line	Material moved on rack to designated line
50	Ŏ	Cut Outer Shape from Steel	Outer shape cut from material using sharp machine
60	Ó	Drill Holes	Hole features produced by using a custom ø.545 drill
70	Ó	Remove Sharp Edges	Sharp edges removed through trimming
80 /SC	Ó	Add Nickel Plating	Add the Electroless Nickel Plating
90	Ŏ.	Add Item Identification	Item Identification stamped through press
100		Final Inspection of Part	Final part inspected to match print
110	0	Package Part	Part packaged through current packaging instructions
120		Store Final Product	Final product stacked in warehouse in final packaging
130	\Box	Ship to Customer	Item shipped to customer out of warehouse



5. Process Flow Diagram

<u>How to</u>: The supplier must completely and accurately define each step of the production process, from receiving incoming materials to shipping finished product, including external processes.

Applicat	tion		1	Issue Date			
Supplie	r Name		2	Part Name			
Supplie	r Code		(3)	Part Number			
Leg	end:						
Оре	eration 🖒 T	ransportation	☐ Inspection	□ Delay	Storage		
Step	p Operation or Event ○ □ □ □		Description of Operation or Event	100	raluation alysis Methods		
-7 -	8		9				
10	\Box	Trai	nsporting Material to Plant	Material received	in warehouse		
20		Insp	ect Material Once Arrived	Visual inspection	of material to check for damage		
30		Stor	re Material Until Use	Material stored in	racks inside warehouse		
40		Brin	g Material to Line	Material moved o	on rack to designated line		
50	O	Cut	Outer Shape from Steel	Outer shape cut from material using sharp machine			

- **Application**: Specific use or purpose of a process, system, or equipment.
- Supplier Name: Name of the company or entity providing materials or services.
- Supplier Code: Known as CAGE (Commercial and Government Entity) Code.
- 4 Issue Date: Official release date of the Process Flow Diagram.

- Part Name (Nomenclature):
 Descriptive title or label for a part.
- Part Number: Unique identifier and revision letter assigned to a part.
- Step: Operational sequence number denoting the operation steps.
- Operation or Event: Defined operation type. (See Legend)

- 9
- **Description of Operation or Event**: Manufacturing operation name.
- Evaluation and Analysis
 Methods: Process methods
 of operation or inspection.

Ensure information is auto filled




5. Process Flow Diagram


<u>How to</u>: The Process Flow Diagram is a living document subject to on-going revisions. Subsequent process changes must be documented in the Process Flow Diagram and alternate process paths/formal rework loops should be documented as part of the main flow diagram. Ensure to use symbology to identify all Key Characteristics in the Process Flow Diagram.

_	end: eration	☐ Inspection	□ Delay	correctly and fill out Issue Date. Complete process flow diagram to match current process.				
Step	Operation or Event ○ □ □ □ ▽	Description of Operation or Event	Evaluation and Analysis M					
10	52	Transporting Material to Plant	Material received in ware	house				
20		Inspect Material Once Arrived	Visual inspection of material to check for damage					
30		Store Material Until Use	Material stored in racks inside warehouse					
40		Bring Material to Line	Material moved on rack to designated line					
50	O	Cut Outer Shape from Steel	Outer shape cut from mat	terial using sharp machine				
60	Ŏ	Drill Holes	Hole features produced by	y using a custom ø.545 drill				
70	Š	Memore Sharp Edges	Charp edges removed the					
80 SC	Ŏ	Add Nickel Plating	Add the Electroless Nicke					
90		Add Item Identification	item identification stamp	ea through press				
100		Final Inspection of Part	Final part inspected to					
110	0	Package Part	Part packaged through	Op. 80 identified as				
120		Store Final Product	Final product stacked i	Significant Characteristic				
130		Ship to Customer	Item shipped to customer out of warehouse					



5. Process Flow Diagram






Element 5 Process Flow Diagram (PFD)											
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible										
Process map represents actual process used and is visibly a living document (contains active revision identification for process changes).	No process map exists.										
Standard flow chart format should used or equivalent information clearly identified - multiple formats are acceptable.	A process flow exists that contains insufficient information in describing the process flow.										
Key performance indicators (KPIs) and key performance objectives (KPOs) are identified.	Route Sheets/Travelers do not contain adequate information.										
Identify where critical to quality (CTQ) features are produced.	Traveler or operation sheet does not clearly show all sources of variation.										
Identify if flow map represents a part family.	Alternate flow paths are not documented.										
Route Sheets/Travelers are acceptable if they contain adequate information.	CTQ features are not documented.										
Alternate flow paths, rework, outside operations, storage, inspection etc. are shown.											



6. Process Failure Mode & Effects Analysis

<u>**Definition**</u>: Process Failure Mode & Effects Analysis (PFMEA) is a disciplined review and analysis of a new or revised process and is conducted to anticipate, resolve, or monitor potential process problems for a new or revised product program. The PFMEA is a living document.

<u>Purpose</u>: The PFMEA is a tool used to identify and prioritize risk areas and their mitigation plans prior to volume production. The information in the PFMEA will flow to following elements such as the Process Flow Diagram and the Control Plan.





6. Process Failure Mode & Effects Analysis

<u>How to</u>: A single Process FMEA may be developed for a family of similar parts or materials provided a formal review of risk priority numbers is performed to ensure consistency with the process being developed.

Application:	(1)
Part Number:	2
Part Name:	3
Team:	4

Design Responsibility:	5
Key Date:	6
Location:	7

PFMEA Number	(8)
Prepared by:	9
Date (Orig.)	(10)
Date (Rev.)	(11)

Application: Specific use or purpose of a process, system, or equipment.

Part Number: Unique identifier and revision letter assigned to a part.

Part Name (Nomenclature):
Descriptive title or label for a part.

Team: Members involved with initiating, processing, and completing the PFMEA.

Design Responsibility:
Authoritative design group for part or system.

Key Date: PFMEA Study Deadline / Milestone Date.

Location: Geographic site where the part is manufactured.

PFMEA Number: Unique identifier for PFMEA Study.

Prepared By: Individual or team who conducted and documented the PFMEA.

Date (Orig.): Initial Completion PFMEA Completion Date.

11

Date (Rev.): Date of most recent revision to PFMEA Document.



6. Process Failure Mode & Effect Analysis

How to: The Process FMEA should be completed using a cross-functional team.

Severity x Occurrence x Detection = RPN

	N.					CUF	RENT	PROCESS					ACTI	on Re	suits		
Item/Process Step	Potential Failure Mode	Potential Effect(s) Of Failure	Severity	Characteristics	Potential Cause(s) Of Failure	Controls Prevention	Occurrence	Controls Detection	Detection	RPN	Recommended Action	Responsibility & Target Completion Date	Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	RPN
12	13	14 (15	16	7	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29

12 Item/Process Step: The operational sequence number denoting the operation steps.

Potential Failure Mode: The way a part or process could potentially fail.

Potential Effect(s) Of Failure: Potential failure mode consequences.

Severity: (Original) Impact of the potential failure mode consequences.

Characteristics:
Key Performance
Characteristic Classification.

Potential Cause(s) Of Failure: Potential reasons that lead to a failure.

Controls Prevention:
Current Controls in place to Prevent the Failure Mode.

Occurrence: (Original)
Likelihood or probability that a failure mode might happen.

Controls Detection: Current Controls in place to Detect the Failure Mode.

Detection: (Original)
Likelihood that the current controls will find a failure.

RPN: (Original) Risk Priority
Number, a numerical value
used to quantify risk.

Recommended Action:
Steps proposed to reduce or eliminate the risk of failure.

Responsibility & Target
Completion Date: Actions
Taken Deadline / Milestone.

Action Taken: Steps that have been implemented to address a potential failure.

Severity: (Updated) Impact of the potential failure mode consequences.

Occurrence: (Updated)
Likelihood or probability that a failure mode might happen.

Detection: (Updated)
Likelihood that the current controls will find a failure.

RPN: (Updated) Risk Priority Number, a numerical value used to quantify risk.



6. Process Failure Mode & Effect Analysis


Application:	Bracket on Ship	Design Responsibility:	PFMEA Numb	er:	
Part Number:	2584771 Rev: E	Key Date:	Prepared	by:	Ensure information is filled correctly.
Part Name:	Base, Mounting	Location:	Date (Or	ig.)	Reference PFMEA Ratings provided in tab
Team:		"6b PFMEA Ratings".			
					PFMEA should align with 5. Flow.


												Actio	III KES	uits		
Item/Process Step	Potential Failure Mode	Potential Effect(s) Of Failure	Severity	Characteristic s	Potential Cause(s) Of Failure	Occurrence	Current Controls	Detection	RPN	Recommended Action	Responsibility & Target Completion Date	Action Taken	Severity	Occurrence	Detection	RPN
10/Transporting	Damage to Material in transit	Material cannot be used	7		Material not properly secured	3		5	105	Method to check that material is secured before shipping for each shipment	Supplier 7/10/23	Method created to check material is properly secured through gauges before transporting	7	3	1	21
Material to Plant	Wrong material	Material with incorrect plating used	7		Mis-identified material	3	CoA	6	128	Implement Inspection criteria and Work Instructions	Quality 7/10/23	WI and Inspection criteria created to guide verification of CoA to material received	6	2	1	12
20 / Inspect Material once arrived	Unable to detect damaged material	Damaged material is put through process, waste of time and labor	6		Lack of gauges to check for damaged material	3	Visual check of material	4	72	Create gauge to check material for damage before storage	Quality 7/10/23	Gauges implemented to check the material for damage	6	2	1	12
30 / Store material until use	Damage to Material in Storage	Material needs to be repaired before going on the line	5		Improper storage of material, not stacked correctly	3	Material has standard storage process that has support for material	4	60	Create gauge to check that material is being properly stored	Quality 7/10/23	Gauges implemented to check the material is not experiencing sag while stored	3	3	3	27
40/Bring Material to Line	Material damaged while being moved	Material needs to be repaired before going on the line	5		Improper support during moving	3	Material remains on rack it was stored in	5	75	Ensure rack that material is stored on creates proper support during transport	Quality 7/10/23	Rack has proper shape to ensure damage isn't incurred during movement	3	2	3	18
50/Cut Outer Shape From Steel	Shape of cutout too large	Post processing needed to get the correct shape	6		Undetected wear in machine	3	Visual check of machine	6	108	Automated check of machine shape at end of each shift	ME 7/12/23	Program created to check shape of machine creating cutout	3	3	4	38
60/Drill Holes	Incorrect location of holes	Scrap part	6		Improper datum	3	Manual datum of machine, gauge to check location of holes after	5	90	More automated system for setting the datum of the machine and checking hole location after	ME 7/12/23	Program created to datum machine and check hole location	7	3	3	63
70/Remove Sharp Edges	Not removing all sharp	Rerun process to remove the rest	3		Process looses efficiency over	5	Visual check to see if all sharp edges removed	4	60	More automated system for checking for sharp edges and	ME 7/12/23	Program created to automate checking for	2	3	3	18
80/Add Nickel Plating	Plating not added	Failure to meet customer specifications	8	sc	Plating process failure	6	Error-Proof system for validating process within specifications	6	288	Scheduled PM to validate machine performance Process Start of Shift, Mid Shift, End of Shift checks	ME QE 7/12/23	PM's scheduled and verified Shift Process checks verified	8	2	2	32

Op. 80 identified as Significant Characteristic



6. Process Failure Mode & Effect Analysis





<SC> Significant Characteristic Must be addressed on Control Plan with 100% inspection or 1.33 Cpk

Continuous Improvement Zone Address top 20% Failure Modes / Causes (Pareto'd by RPN)

Low Risk Zone Address as needed


Element 6 Process Modes	Effects Analysis (DEMEA)					
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible					
Documented evidence of a PFMEA that meets the standard.	No PFMEA produced by a producer.					
PFMEA illustrates linkage to Process Flow Map and DFMEA.	Areas of high risk not addressed with adequate process controls.					
PFMEA shows risk analysis that addresses process risks and prior internal defects and/or Customer escapes taken into account from similar designs.	Lack of linkage to DFMEA.					
Customer CTQ Features (e.g., KPC1, KPC2, etc.) identified on PFMEA.	No evidence customer data, prior failures & escapes from a similar design used in analysis.					
Producer self-selected key characteristics identified on PFMEA where appropriate.	No identification of Customer and/or self- selected key characteristics where appropriate.					
Critical characteristics shall be identified, recorded, and implemented with a Severity Rank of 9 or 10.	Critical characteristics that fail to demonstrate a minimum CpK of 1.67, demonstrate a robust Government-approved error proofing system that ensures product conformance, or be subject to 100% inspection.					
Significant characteristics shall be identified, recorded, and implemented with a Severity Rank of 5, 6, 7, 8 with a corresponding Occurrence Rank of 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, or 10.	Significant characteristics that fail to demonstrate a minimum CpK of 1.33, demonstrate a robust Government-approved error proofing system that ensures product conformance, or be subject to 100% inspection.					




7. Control Plan

<u>**Definition**</u>: The Control Plan is a written description of the systems for controlling production parts and processes. The production control plan is a living document and should be updated to reflect the addition/deletion of controls based on corrective actions and experience gained by producing parts (AM General approval may be required for alterations to Control Plan).

<u>Purpose</u>: Describe steps to key inspection and control activities with intent to control the design features and the process variables to ensure product quality. The Control Plan is a **living document** that is revised and updated throughout the life of the product.







7. Control Plan

<u>How to</u>: The Process Control Plan must include each process step identified on the Process Flow Diagram and Process FMEA.

- Includes the controls identified in the Process FMEA
- Addresses product and process characteristics at each process step
- Describes and identifies all Special Characteristics

ON READY *	NERAL.							cc	ONTROI	. PLAN			7
Prototyp	e Pre-Launci	<u> </u>	roduction										-
Control Plan Number				Key Contact/Phone Jane Doe / ((55) 5) -4587					Date (Orig.)		Date (Rev.)	Ensure information is filled correctly. Complete con	
Part Number	r/Revision 2584771	/E		Core Team					Customer Engineering Approval/Date (If Req'd.)				 plan for all necessary processes while ensuring the balloon print is referenced. Control Plan should ali PFMEA and Process Flow Diagram.
Part Name	Base, Mou	nting		Supplier/Plant Approval/Date					Customer Quality Approval/Date (If Req'd.)			Privite and Process Flow Diagram.	
Supplier Name Supplier Code RGBSI Aerospace & Defense 8CGW8				Other Approval/Date (If Req'd.)					Other Approval/Date (If Req'd.)]	
PART/ PROCESS NUMBER	PROCESS NAME/ OPERATION DESCRIPTION	MACHINE, DEVICE JIG, TOOLS FOR MFG.		CHARACTERISTICS		SPECIAL				METHODS]
			NO.	PRODUCT	PROCESS	CHAR. CLASS	PRODUCT / PROCESS SPECIFICATION / TOLERANCE	EVALUATION / MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUE	SAI	FREQ.	CONTROL METHOD	REACTION PLAN	
10	Transport Material to Plant	Forklift	2	Correct Material for process in the plant	Receive material and transport to storage		TOLERANCE	Visual Inspection	Material Container	100%	CoA Inspection Criteria Inspection Work Instructions	Control of Non-Conforming Material Procedure (XXX-QA-PR-001)	-
20	Inspect Material	Gage 11	1, 2	Material in good condition	Inspect material using gage for damage		No damage to material	Visual Inspection Gage Inspection	3 pieces	Every Container	Inspection Work Instructions Gage Calibration schedule	Control of Non-Conforming Material Procedure (XXX-QA-PR-001)	
30	Store material	Forklift	2, 5	Material stored in correct location with no damage	Store material in defined location using forklift and ERP		No damage to material Stored in correct location	Visual Inspection Validate via ERP	1 container	1/shift	ERP System Material Handling Procedure	Control of Non-Conforming Material Procedure (XXX-QA-PR-001)	Example
40	Bring Material to line	Forklift	5	Material delivered to production station	Deliver location to production station		No damage to material Stored in correct location	Visual Inspection Validate via ERP	1 container	100%	ERP System Material Handling Procedure Op Verification	Control of Non-Conforming Material Procedure (XXX-QA-PR-001)	Zxampic



<u>How to</u>: The information at the top must be filled out to display details on the part, team involved, and approval dates.

Prototype Pre-Launch	1 Production				
Control Plan Number	2	Key Contact/Phone Jane Doe / ((55) 5) -4567	7	Date (Orig.)	Date (Rev.)
Part Number/Revision 2584771/		Core Team	8	Customer Engineering Appro	oval/Date (If Req'd.)
Part Name Base, Moun	4	Supplier/Plant Approval/Date	9	Customer Quality Approval/D	Date (If Req'd.)
Supplier Name 5 RGBSI Aerospace & Defense	Supplier Code 8CGW6	Other Approval/Date (If Req'd.)	10	Other Approval/Date (If Req'o	15

Prototype, Pre-launch,
Production: Product lifecycle
phases.

Control Plan Number:
Unique Identifier facilitating
Quality Management tracking.

Part Number / Revision:
Unique identifier and revision
letter assigned to a part.

Part Name (Nomenclature):
Descriptive title or label for a part.

Supplier Name: Name of the

company or entity providing

materials or services.


- Supplier Code: Known as CAGE (Commercial and Government Entity) Code. **Key Contact / Phone**: Point of Contact & Contact Phone Number. Core Team: Key group of individuals responsible for production execution. Supplier / Plant Approval / Date: Authorization date of by the supplier or mfg plant. Other Approval / Date: 10) Secondary authorization date for control plan approval.
- Date (Orig.): Original date 11) when the control plan was created. Date (Rev.): Date when the 12 control plan was revised or updated. **Customer Engineering** 13) Approval / Date: Engineering authorization date. **Customer Quality Approval** 14) / Date: Quality Management authorization date. Other Approval / Date: 15) Additional validation or


authorization date.



<u>How to</u>: The columns contain the information that is needed when filling out the plan. The plan outlines the process, the characteristics involved, the methods to control the process, and the plan if the controls fail.

PART/	PROCESS NAME/	MACHINE, DEVICE	СН	ARACTERIST	ics	SPECIAL		METHODS				REACTION PLAN
PROCESS NUMBER		JIG, TOOLS FOR MFG.	NO.	PRODUCT	PROCESS	CHAR.	PRODUCT / PROCESS SPECIFICATION / TOLERANCE	EVALUATION / MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUE	SIZE	FREQ.	CONTROL METHOD	
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28





- Machine Device, Jig, Tools for MFG: Unique identifier for MFG equipment and tooling.
- No.: Print Characteristic number being addressed in the process step.
- Product: Define final product when the process is complete. (See PFMEA)

Process: Manufacturing
Process Key Performance
Characteristics. (See PFMEA)

Special Char. Class: Key Performance Characteristic Classification Type.

Product / Process Spec / Tolerance: MFG Process Allowable Tolerance Limits.

Evaluation / Measurement Technique: MFG operation measurement method.

Size: Quantity of parts inside the sample size.

26

Freq.: Sampling rate frequency. Time between samples.

Control Method: Strategy or technique employed to monitor the system.

Reaction Plan: Predefined set of steps to follow in response to a deviation.



Prototype	_	· 🔽	Production										
Control Plan N	umber			Key Contact/Phone		Jane Doe / ((5	55) 5) -4567		Date (Orig.)		Date (Rev.)		
Part Number/F	Revision 2584771/	/E		Core Team					Customer Eng	Customer Engineering Approval/Date (If Req'd.)			
Part Name	Base, Moun	iting		Supplier/Plant Appro	oval/Date				Customer Qua	lity Approval/D	ate (If Req'd.)		
Supplier Name RGBSI Ae	e rospace & Defense	Supplier Code 8C0	GW6	Other Approval/Date (If Req'd.)					Other Approv	aVDate (If Req	d.)		
PART/	PROCESS NAME/ OPERATION DESCRIPTION	MACHINE,		CHARACTERISTI	cs	SPECIAL			METHODS				
PROCESS		JIG. TOOLS				CHAR.			SAN	IPLE		REACTION PLAN	
NUMBER			NO.	PRODUCT	PROCESS	CLASS	PRODUCT / PROCESS SPECIFICATION / TOLERANCE	EVALUATION / MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUE	SIZE FREQ.	FREQ.	CONTROL METHOD		
10	Transport Material to Plant	Forklift		Correct Material for process in the plant	Receive material and transport to storage			Visual Inspection	Material Container	100%	CoA Inspection Criteria Inspection Work Instructions	Control of Non-Conforming Material Procedure (XXX-QA-PR-001)	
20	Inspect Material	Gage 11		Material in good condition	Inspect material using gage for damage		No damage to material	Visual Inspection Gage Inspection	3 pieces	Every Container	Inspection Work Instructions Gage Calibration schedule	Control of Non-Conforming Material Procedure (XXX-QA-PR-001)	
30	Store material	Forklift		Material stored in correct location with no damage	Store material in defined location using forklift and ERP system		No damage to material Stored in correct location	Visual Inspection Validate via ERP	1 container	1/shift	ERP System Material Handling Procedure	Control of Non-Conforming Material Procedure (XXX-QA-PR-001)	
40	Bring Material to line	Forklift		Material delivered to production station	Deliver location to production station		No damage to material Stored in correct location	Visual Inspection Validate via ERP	1 container	100%	ERP System Material Handling Procedure Op Verification	Control of Non-Conforming Material Procedure (XXX-QA-PR-001)	
50	Cut Outer Shape From Steel	Cutting Machine	13,14,17,18, 21	Outer shape of final product	Cuts outer shape out of steel sheet		0.031	Automated check of outer dimensions	100	Per Shift	Automated check of shape before moving to next process	Control of Non-Conforming Material Procedure (XXX-QA-PR-001)	
60	Drill Holes	Drilling Machine	7,8,9,11,12, 15,16,19	Two ø.545 holes	Drills two holes into the outer shape		0.01	Go/No Go Gauge	100	Per Shift	Gauge check before moving to next process	Control of Non-Conforming Material Procedure (XXX-QA-PR-001)	
70	Remove Sharp Edges	Trimming machine	N/A	N/A	Removes outer sharp edges in		All sharp edges removed	Visual inspection	100	Per Shift	Visual check before moving to next process	Control of Non-Conforming Material Procedure	
80	Add Nickel Plating	Plating Booth	4	Material receives Nickle plating	Part processes through plating booth	sc	ASTM B733-04 Type V Class 3	Visual inspection Machine Validation chekclist	1	3x/Shift	Machine Validation Work Instructions HMI Machine specs	Control of Non-Conforming Material Procedure (XXX-QA-PR-001)	
90	Identification	machine			identification onto part			pressue when stamping part			to next process	Procedure (XXX-QA-PR-001)	

Op. 80 identified as Significant Characteristic




Element 7 Proce	ss Control Plan
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible
Documented evidence Process Control Plan meets AIAG 2.2.7.	No documented evidence of a Process Control Plan or the one presented does not meet AIAG 2.2.7.
Listed finished dimensions and tolerances match the drawing.	High risk items identified on the PFMEA are not adequately addressed.
Control Plan includes controls for all UTC Member defined KCs and any producer identified KCs from PFMEA.	No reaction plan exists.
Control Plan includes controls for any high severity and high RPN failure modes identified on the PFMEA (e.g. early warning, control, system redundancies and mistake- proof methods).	No inspection frequencies.
Key Process Inputs, Settings, Control Methods, and SPC chart type are defined for each critical operation.	
Control Plan accounts for outside/sub-tier processes, where appropriate [i.e., sub-tier performs process that generates a KPC].	
Reaction plans exist for nonconforming condition/out of control situations (e.g. containment, customer notification, recovery, communication, stop the process and inform supervision).	



JLTV PPAP Workflow

Next PPAP Element: 8. Measurement System Analysis






5i 8. Measurement System Analysis

<u>**Definition**</u>: Measurement System Analysis (MSA) is the statistical method used to show the variation in the measurement system, which includes Gage R&R, Linearity, Stability, Bias, etc.

<u>Purpose</u>: The Measurement System Analysis connects to measurement data used in nearly every manufacturing process.






TODSi 8a. Measurement System Analysis

How to: The supplier must populate all information in the PPAP workbook for:

- Supplier Info
- Part Info
- Characteristic Info
- Gage Info
- **Dimension / TOL Info**
- Appraiser Info
- ANOVA (Analysis of Variance)
- Gage R&R






TODSi 8a. Measurement System Analysis

How to: Supplier / Part / Characteristic / Gage / Dimension / Tolerance / Appraiser Information

SUPPLIER NAME:		GAGE NAME:	4	4	NOMINAL:	7	DATE:	(10)	
PART NO.:		GAGE NO.:		5	TOL(+):	8	PERFORMED BY:		
CHARACTERISTIC:	3	GAGE TYPE:		6	TOL(-):	9	FENFORMED BT.	<u> </u>	





- Supplier Name: Name of the company or individual providing the product.
- Part Number: Unique identifier assigned to a specific part or component.
- Characteristic: A distinct attribute or property of a part or process. Bubbled Print.
- Gage Name: Specific name or model of the measurement device used.
- Gage Number: Unique identifier assigned to a specific measuring device.


- Gage Type: Category or 6 classification of the measuring device.
- Nominal: Target or desired value for a specific dimension.
- Tol(+): Maximum allowable increase from the nominal value.
- Tol(-): Minimum allowable decrease from the nominal value.
- Date: Date of the 10) measurement system analysis study.


- Performed By: Individual or **11** team who carried out the measurement study.
- Trial Data: Raw data 12 collected during the measurement study.
- 13 Average & Range: Mean and max/min of the data set.
- 14) **XBARA**: Average of all the subgroup means in the study.
- RBARA: Average of all the subgroup ranges in the study.



TODSi 8c. Measurement System Analysis

How to: ANOVA Gage R&R





- Repeatability Range Control Chart: Tracks time variation from measurements.
- Part Appraiser Average **Chart**: Average measurement per appraiser per part.
- **Equipment Variation (EV):** Variability due to the measurement instrument.
- **Appraiser Variation (AV):** Variability due to the individual performing the test.

- Gage R&R (GRR): Combined estimate of repeatability & reproducibility.
- Part Variation (PV): Variation detected in the parts measured in the study.
- Total Variation (TV): Overall variability from all sources of variation.
- No. Of Distinct Categories: measurement of variation in sample parts

- % Process Variation (PTV): Variation as a percentage of total process output.
- 25
- % Tolerance Variation (TOL): Variation as a percentage of total tolerance.



TODSi 8d. Measurement System Analysis

How to: Attribute Agreement Analysis

Part Number	26			Gage Name	29		Date Performe	32)	Appraiser A		
Part Name	27			Gage Number	30)	Gage Type	33)	Appraiser B	35	
Characteristic	28)		Pass Condition	31)	Fail Condition	34)	Appraiser C		
	DATA TABLE											
PART	A-1	A-2	A-3	B-1	B-2	B-3	C-1	C-2	C-3	Reference	Reference Value	Code
1	3	6								37	38	39



Part Number: Unique identifier assigned to a specific part or component.



Part Name (Nomenclature): Descriptive title or label for a part.



Characteristic: A distinct attribute or property of a part or process.



Gage Name: Specific name or model of the measurement device used.



Gage Number: Unique identifier assigned to a specific measuring device.



Pass Condition: Acceptable result for characteristic; this is the Upper Limit.



Date Performed: Date when Attribute Agreement Analysis performed.



Gage Type: The category or classification of the measuring device.



Fail Condition: Rejectable result for characteristic; this is the Lower Limit.



Appraiser: Personnel performing analysis.



Part Data: Pass/fail results captured in the analysis. "0" is Fail, "1" is Pass.



Reference: Actual pass/fail result of the part being measured.



Reference Value: Actual value of the part being measured.



Code: Pass / Fail Results. "+" for a pass, "x" for a fail.



A-2

105i 8. Measurement System Analysis



PART

2

Attribute Agreement Analysis

0.5602 0.5529 0.5531

Example

Part Number		Gage Name	Date Performed	Appraiser A	
2584771	Rev: E	Go/No-Go Pin Set	7/3/2023	Jane Doe	
Part Name	18.01	Gage Number	Gage Type	Appraiser B	
	Mounting	GN-1020	Gage Pins	John Smith	
Characteristic	CIEOSO O O O	Pass Condition	Fail Condition	Appraiser C	
	7	0.5555	0.5355	Brian Doe	

B-2

Ensure information is filled correctly. Complete Gage R&R Attribute Agreement with reference to 8b, GR&R Requirements. For the Pass/Fail conditions, the Pass is the maximum condition and Fail is the minimum condition. The "Reference" column is to show if the information in the data matches the expected outcome. The "Code" column is for pass or fail of the gage, with

	DATA T	ABLE					
	B-3	C-1	C-2	C-3	Reference	Reference Value	
	1	0	1	1	1	0.5479	T
Ī	1	1	1	1	1	0.5537	Τ
_	*	47	4.7	*		A FAFF	t

d Tabulation	- 8	
4	2	3
d	а	d
d	d	d
d	ď	d
b	C	ď
d	d	d
d	d	d

1	2	3
ь	C	d
d	d	d
ď	ď	d
а	d	d
d	d	d
d	d	d

AC Tabulation		
1	2	3
ь	C	d
d	d	d
ď	ď	d
b	C	d
d	d	d
d	d	d

Risk Analysis

A * B Crosstabulation

					Total
			0	1	Total
	0	Count	1	1	2
		Expected Count	0.2	1.8	2.0
Д.	1	Count	1	15	18
		Expected Count	1.8	14.2	16.0
То		Count	2	16	18
10	Call	Expected Count	2.0	16.0	18.0

B * C Crosstabulation

				С	Total
			0	1	Total
	0	Count Expected Count	0.2	1 1.8	2.0
В	1 Count	Count Expected Count	1 1.8	15 14.2	16 16.0
То	otal	Count Expected Count	2 2.0	16 16.0	18 18.0

A * C Crosstabulation

				Total	
			0	1	1000
	0	Count	0	2	2
		Expected Count	0.2	1.8	2.0
-	1	Count	2	14	16
		Expected Count	1.8	14.2	16.0
То	4-1	Count	2	16	18
10	Call	Expected Count	2.0	16.0	18.0

Kappa	A	В	С
A	-	0.44	-0.12
В	0.44	-	0.44
	0.12	0.44	


	DETERMINATION						
AxB	Some Agreement						
AxC	Poor Agreement						
BxC	Some Agreement						





TODSi 8d. Measurement System Analysis

How to: Crosstabulation Analysis for Appraisers A, B, and C



Repeated results for A * C Crosstabulation **B** * C Crosstabulation

Карра	Α	В	С
Α	-	-0.12	-0.12
В	-0.12	-	0.44
С	-0.12	0.44	-

Kappa results

DETERMINATION $A \times B$ Poor Agreement Poor Agreement A x C Some Agreement BxC

Determination for each crosstabulation



5i 8. Measurement System Analysis

How to: Meet all AM General MSA Requirements

Variable Analysis

Anova Method is only acceptable method.

- Select a minimum of 10 parts.
- Select a minimum of 3 operators.

Results

- -Number of distinct categories shall be 5 or greater.
- -For Powertrain and like type components Total Gage R&R shall be less than 10%.
- -For all other components Total Gage R&R shall be less than 20%.
- -Please consult your SQE for any variable results over these limits.

Attribute Agreement Analysis

Attribute Risk Method is only acceptable method.

- -Select a minimum of 3 operators, perform 3 trials.
- -Select a minimum of 50 parts.
- -Validate selected parts with variable gage such as CMM.
- -10% below and above boundary limits.
- -25% at and around upper and lower boundary limit.
- -30% between boundary limits to represent range of normal process variation.

Results


- -Kappa coefficient between operators must exceed 0.70, greater than 0.80 preferred.
- -Kappa coefficient operator to standard must exceed 0.70, greatet than 0.80 preferred.

Element 8 Gage Repeatability & Reproducibility (GR&R)							
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible						
Demonstrated Gage Capability Studies completed for all measurement devices.	Gages used have inadequate measurement resolution.						
Gage resolution specified meeting 10:1 ground rule.	Only gage calibration system.						
Producer action plan(s) in place to address unacceptable gage capability results.	No or inadequate action plan for gage capability results that do not meet requirements.						



JLTV PPAP Workflow


Next PPAP Element: 9. Dimensional Report






<u>**Definition**</u>: Dimensional results show that the physical part measurements meet the drawing requirements.

<u>Purpose</u>: Ensure that the production process can produce parts according to print requirements. If there are issues with meeting the drawing requirements, changes must be made to the Process Flow, PFMEA, or the Control Plan to fix the cause of the issue.







<u>How to</u>: For the top portion of the Dimensional Results form, information needs to be filled out to provide details on the supplier, the part it is being completed on, and the inspection facility involved.

SUPPLIER NAME:	(1)	PART NUMBER:	3
SUPPLIER CODE:	2	PART NAME:	4
NAME OF INSPECTION	FACILITY:	5	REVISION 7
DATE: 6			

- Supplier Name: Name of supplier that produced sample part.
- Supplier Code: Unique code (typically a Cage Code) identifying the supplier.
- Part Number: Unique number assigned to identify the sample part.
- Part Name: Name given to a part or product.

- Name of Inspection Facility: Facility that performed inspection to sample parts.
- Date: Date of when inspection on sample parts was performed.
- Revision: identifier of design record revision used to produce sample parts.



<u>How to</u>: For the bottom portion of the Dimensional Results form, information needs to be filled out for all 6 parts. The dimension information needs to be entered in from the bubbled drawing with accurate information. The results must be entered as taken, with failing measurements resulting in the cell turning red. This shows a failure in the measurement to meet the requirement dimension and tolerance, which causes in a failure of the inspection of the part.

Supplier r	Supplier required to provide marked up drawing to identify items inspected.														
ITT.	DIMENSION /	TOLER	RANCE	SPECIFICAT	TON / LIMITS	GAGE	QTY.		ME	ASUREMENT	RESULTS (ATA)		6	NOT OK
ITEM	SPECIFICATION	*	+	MIN	MAX	TYPE* TESTED	Piece 1	Piece 2	Piece 3	Piece 4	Piece 5	Piece 6	OK	NOTOK	
ex	4	1.000		3	5	Caliper	6	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	2.000	•	X
8	9	(10)	(11)	12	13	(14)	15			16				17	[18]

Item: Identified note or dimension from drawing to be measured on sample part.

Dim / Spec: Specified measurable extent of feature as specified on the drawing.

Tolerance -: Low tolerance of dimension as specified in the drawing.

Tolerance +: High tolerance of dimension as specified in the drawing.

Spec / Limits Min: Low limit (or minimum) of dimension as specified in the drawing.

Spec / Limits Max: High limit (or maximum) of dimension as specified in the drawing.

Gage Type: Type of gage used to measure dimension.

QTY Tested: Quantity of parts with dimension inspected.

Data: Dimensional data of measured results for each individual part.

OK: Checkbox specifying dimension measured on parts are acceptable to drawing.

18

Not OK: Checkbox specifying dimension measured on parts are not acceptable to drawing



How to: A completed Dimensional Results form will have all the items filled out for all the necessary dimensions in the bubbled drawing. It will also determine if the part passes or fails the inspection.

SUPPLIER NAME:		TRUE					PART NUMBER: 2584771								
SUPPLIER CODE:		8CG	W6				PART NAME: Ba			Base, Mounting					
NAME OF	INSPECTION FACILITY:			R	GBSI Aei	rospace & D	efense]				REVISION:	Ē	
DATE:		7/3	3/2023						-						
Supplier	required to provide marked up drawing	to ident	ify item	s inspected.											
ITEM	DIMENSION / SPECIFICATION	TOLER	RANCE	SPECIFICATIO	N/LIMITS	GAGE	QTY.				RESULTS (OK	NOT OK
	Distance Or Low Ports Ports	1,000	+	MIN	MAX	TYPE*	TESTED	Piece 1	Piece 2	Piece 3	Piece 4	Piece 5	Piece 6	Cont.	NOT ON
N1	REPRET DRAWING IN ORDANCE WITH ASME Y14.100-2017. ENSIGNS AND TOLERANCES IN ORDANCE WITH ASME Y14.5-2018.	0.000	0.000	1	1	Validation	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	x	-
N2	ITELL, ALLOY 4120, COND F, 65-6-738 MITRIS: IF 4130, COND OPT, SPEC ASTM-ALOY D TIMPER RC 28/33 OR STEEL, COMP A OR B, SPEC MIL-5-18728, D TEMPER RC 28/33.	0.000	0.000	1	1	Validation	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	x	-
N3	VE SHARP EDGES.	0.000	0.000	1	1	Validation	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	x	-
N4	CLESS NICKLE PLATING PER &	0.000	0.000	1	1	Validation	6	1	1	1	1	1	. 1	X	<u>.</u>
N5	M IDENTIFICATION LY PER MIL-STD-130 64 - 004899355 L- (MFR CAGE CODE)	0.000	0.000	1	1	Validation	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	x	
6	250	250	0	0	250	Profilometer	6	125	100	125	90	120	110	х	-
7	0.5455	0.0105	0.0105	0.535	0.556	I.D. Mic.	6	0.540	0.539	0.540	0.541	0.544	0.546	х	-
8	2	0.000	2.000	2	4	Count	6	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	Х	-
9	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	Visual	6	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	Х	-
10	0.500	0.083	0.063	0.4375	0.5625	CMM	6	0.484	0.530	0.513	0.471	0.480	0.476	Х	-
11	0.125	0.063	0.063	0.0625	0.1875	CMM	6	0.108	0.171	0.102	0.160	0.100	0.078	Х	-
12	0.500	0.031	0.031	0.46875	0.53125	СММ	6	0.469	0.499	0.520	0.522	0.496	0.522	Х	-
13	1.6875	0.063	0.063	1.625	1.75	CMM	6	1.748	1.660	1.737	1.661	1.625	1.655	Х	-
14	2.375	0.031	0.031	2.344	2.406	CALIPER	6	2.366	2.386	2.340	2.365	2.393	2.397	-	X
15	0.750	0.000	0.000	0.75	0.75	CMM	6	0.750	0.750	0.750	0.750	0.750	0.750	Х	-
16	1.250	0.063	0.063	1.1875	1.3125	CMM	6	1.280	1.264	1.245	1.280	1.232	1.222	Х	
17	1.125	0.083	0.083	1.0625	1.1875	CMM	6	1.085	1.177	1.119	1.071	1.088	1.106	X	-
18	2.500	0.063	0.063	2.4375	2.5625	CMM	6	2.506	2.505	2.559	2.515	2.552	2.549	Х	-
19	2.469	0.000	0.000	2.469	2.469	CMM	6	2.469	2.469	2.469	2.469	2.469	2.469	Х	-
20	0.250	0.015	0.015	0.235	0.265	0-1 Mic.	6	0.230	0.264	0.263	0.234	0.257	0.251	-	X
21	0.625	0.063	0.063	0.5625	0.6875	CMM	6	0.632	0.566	0.601	0.568	0.566	0.573	Х	-

For Drawing notes, enter "1" in results columns if verified good, "0" if verified fail.


Ensure information is filled correctly. Complete dimensional test on selected parts and record results.

Example



9b. Weld Dimensional Results

<u>How to</u>: A completed Weld Dimensional Results form will have all the items filled out for all the necessary Weld dimensions in the bubbled drawing. It will also determine if the parts pass or fail the inspection.



Ensure information is filled out correctly. Weld Symbol and Weld Description will come from the bubbled print.

PQR#: Procedure
Specification Record
WPS#: Welding Procedure
Specification

Weld requirements will be reflected in the Weld Code.

- Document Information: Fill out information providing details about supplier, part, and inspection facility.
- Weld Description: Document the weld description from the part print.
- Weld Symbol: Document the weld symbol from the part print.

- WPS#: Document WPS#.
- **PQR#:** Document PQR (If not prequalified).
- Gage: Document gage type used to inspect welds.
- Weld Check Results:

 Document results from Weld measurements.

OK/Not OK: Document if weld passed all part inspections.




Element 9 Dimensi	onal Test Results
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible
100% dimensional inspection is required for a minimum of six (6) parts for each PPAP submittal, including subcomponents if the part or assembly is purchased at a higher level than the lowest level defined in the JLTV Technical Data Package and Computer Software Package. In the event that less than three parts are ordered, all parts shall be subject to 100% dimensional inspection.	
Additional sample parts represent all process streams.	Some features checked in an over- inspection found to be out-of-tolerance.
All dimensional characteristics are accounted for (ref. ballooned prints).	Missing or incomplete dimensional characteristics.
CTQ features are identified.	Features found to be unaccounted for.
Zero non-conformances.	
For design authority suppliers, 100% of	
outline drawing characteristics, with actual values.	
If the product drawing relies upon the 3D	
CAD model to fully define the part, the	
PPAP shall include evidence that all	
measured samples conform to the	
geometry and associated GD&T	
requirements defined by the 3D CAD model.	



JLTV PPAP Workflow

Next PPAP Element: 10. Material performance Test Results






10a. Material / Performance Test Results

<u>Definition</u>: The Material and Performance Test Results are a summary of all the tests performed on the part as specified in the drawing. It also includes the First Article Test (FAT) Report.

Purpose: These test results are important documentation to prove that the part meets all its performance expectations and can perform in the necessary application.

Material and Performance Test Results



- **Header**: Main information about the Material and Performance Test Results report.
- **Material Spec. Number:** Specification number for test being performed.
- Specification/Limits: Specifications to which parts are being tested.

- **Test Date**: Date when test report was completed.
- **QTY Tested**: Quantity of parts tested.
- **Test Results**: Reported test results.


OK/NOT OK: Mark if parts passed testing.



10b. Material Certifications

<u>Definition</u>: Certifications of material performance that include the specification, limits, and results.

<u>How To</u>: Submit all material certifications referenced in the Dimensional Results and the Material Performance Test Results matrix. All material requirements called out in the Design Record shall have certifications and results. Material Certifications shall include a header box that includes the Drawing Number, Revision, and Characteristic note that it pertains to.





10c. First Article Test Report Resources

<u>**Definition**</u>: The First Article Test Report is a summary of all the tests performed on the part as specified in the drawing, presented as CUI documentation in the AM General required format.

<u>How To</u>: For all Component First Article Testing (CFAT) please use the AMG CFAT Workbook (1AF0354) found on the Supplier resource website. Use the same document for all First Article

Testing (FAT) as well.






10. Material / Performance Test Results

Element 10 Materials Testing, Performance	e Testing, First Article Test (FAT) Report
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible
Compliance to the following are required to be documented, as applicable: Raw Materials Certifications, Performance Test Reports (which identify that all specified performance requirements on the Design Record have been demonstrated), Surface Finish Requirements, Marking/Labeling Requirements, Paint/Plating Requirements, Welding Documentation (necessary to demonstrate conformance to specified weld requirements such as procedure specifications, certifications, procedure qualification requirements, etc.).	Documentation for Raw Materials Certifications or Performance Test Reports are missing or incomplete.
Compliance information for any other material or material process (e.g. heat treatment) or performance test requirement specified in the Design Record but not included in the list above shall be included.	
The supplier is responsible for presenting Certificates of Conformance (COC) and Material Test Reports for Raw Materials for review.	Certificates of Conformance missing or incomplete.



JLTV PPAP Workflow

Next PPAP Element: 11. Initial Process Studies






<u>**Definition**</u>: Includes all SPC charts to prove processes producing critical/significant characteristics have stable variability.


<u>Purpose</u>: To ensure that the process can produce special characteristics that meet the organization's standard. **If Process is not stable,** the organization shall identify, evaluate and, wherever possible, eliminate special causes of variation prior to PPAP submission.

	Idealistic Natural Variation	Realistic Process Centering
Capability Under Statistical Process Control	$C_{p} = \frac{USL - LSL}{6\sigma_{c_{p}}}$	$C_{pk} = Min \left(\frac{USL - \bar{x}}{3\sigma_{c_p}}, \frac{\bar{x} - LSL}{3\sigma_{c_p}} \right)$ $\sigma_{c_p} \rightarrow sample \ set$
Performance New Process	$P_{p} = \frac{USL - LSL}{6\sigma_{p_{p}}}$	$P_{pk} = Min\left(rac{USL - \overline{x}}{3\sigma_{p_p}}, rac{\overline{x} - LSL}{3\sigma_{p_p}} ight)$ $\sigma_{p_p} o entire\ dataset$



Statistical Process Control:

The application of statistical methods to monitor and control the quality of a production process



$$\bar{X} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \dots x_n}{n}$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x - \bar{x})^2}{n - 1}}$$



How to: Fill out the relevant information outlined below to document the supplier, part number, and tolerance of the dimension being measured.




- Supplier Name: Name of the company or entity providing materials or services.
- Supplier Code: Known as CAGE (Commercial and Government Entity) Code.
- Part Number / Revision:
 Unique identifier and revision letter assigned to a part.

- Nominal: Designated size of a dimensioned feature.
- Tolerance (+): Allowable value that a measured feature can be above nominal size.
- Tolerance (-): Allowable value that a measured feature can be below nominal size.




How to: Fill out the relevant information outlined below to document the characteristic, study details, and the dimensions taken on the part.



- Characteristic: Dimensioned feature of a part defined by design data.
- Reason For Study: Include number of request form, or reason for initiating this study.
- Study Date: When was this study initiated.

- Prepared By: Name of personnel who initiated the study.
- Date Completed: Date that study was completed.
- Sub-Group Data: Values of measured data taken from sample parts.





Actual:	Required:
CPK: 1.29	CPK: 1.67
Actual:	Required:
PPK: 1.25	PPK: 1.33
Enter actual CPK and PPK values above. Values that are out of tolerance will	Enter required CPK and PPK values above.
automatically turn red	

Element 11 Initial Process Studies (IPS)								
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible							
All defined KPCs are identified on the PFMEA, Process Flow Map, Control Plan and work instructions.	KPCs are not documented on PCP.							
The requirements for significant production runs (PPAP Manual 2.1) and Quality Indices (PPAP Manual 2.2.11.2) shall be in accordance with PPAP Manual (Fourth Edition) Appendix H. All other PPAP Manual 2.2.11 requirements apply as written in the PPAP Manual (Fourth Edition).								
Producer can show evidence that SPC is being implemented for PW defined or self- selected KCs using control charts.	No evidence that control charts exist for either Customer/Producer KCs.							
Initial Process Studies shall be performed on all special characteristics. All Critical Characteristics shall demonstrate a minimum CpK of 1.67, all Significant Characteristics shall demonstrate a minimum CpK of 1.33.								



12. Qualified Laboratory Documentation

<u>**Definition**</u>: Record certification / documentation of the testing facilities used to generate reports to satisfy JLTV PPAP testing / inspection requirements.

<u>Purpose</u>: Ensures that any identified laboratory is qualified for the type of measurements or tests conducted.

How to: Provide Certificates of Registration / Conformance as a part of the PPAP Package.





12. Qualified Laboratory Documentation

How to: If the Qualified Laboratory Documentation isn't required, suppliers must record on the Cover Page that Element 12 is Non-Applicable.



Element 12 Qualifie	d Lab Documents
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible
Inspection and testing for PPAP shall be performed by a qualified laboratory as defined by customer requirements (e.g., an accredited laboratory). The qualified laboratory (internal or external to the organization) shall have a laboratory scope and documentation showing that the laboratory is qualified for the type of measurements or tests conducted.	Missing or incomplete qualified lab documentation.
When an external/commercial laboratory is used, the organization shall submit the test results on the laboratory letterhead or the normal laboratory report format. The name of the laboratory that performed the tests, the date (s) of the tests, and the standards used to run the tests shall be identified.	




51 13. Appearance Approval Report

<u>**Definition**</u>: Certification that a part meets the customer's aesthetic and design requirements based upon for the physical appearance requirements.

<u>Purpose</u>: To ensure that the product appears to be in the correct condition with the specified finish, dimensions, and formality.

Things to look for:









13. Appearance Approval Report

<u>How to</u>: If an Appearance Approval Report is required, fill out the form with the appropriate appearance measurements. These appearance requirements will be called out in the Design Record. If the Appearance Approval Report is not required, suppliers must record on the Cover Page that Element 13 is Non-Applicable.

Pre	oduction Part	Approva	l Process
	(PF	PAP)	
	PPAP PART NUMBER:	2584771	
	PPAP PART REVISION LE	VEL: E	
	PPAP PART NAME:	Base, Mountin	g
	PPAP SUBMITTAL DATE: 2030-	01-23	
	PPAP INTERIM		
	PPAP FINAL X		
	ble Elements - List below the ELIGIBLE ele AL/SUB-CONTRACTOR'S SHALL COMPL		
	datebacco	NE 3 A PEIGADEE	
	Element 2 - Engineer	ing Change Doo	cuments
	Element 3 - Engineeri	ing Approval Do	cuments
	Element 4 - DFMEA -	Not Design Res	ponsible
			Evamnl
			Exampl
	SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE

PART	RT						- 1	DRAWING							APPLICATION								
NUMBER	₹.							NUMBER				personal our					(VEHICLES)						
PART								BUYER E/C LEVEL						DATE									
NAME										COD													
ORGANI	ZATI	ON						MANUFACTURING					SUPPLIER										
NAME	0 20	200	_	4:2					LOCATION		200						021200		_				
REASON						MISSION Y	WARRANT		SPECIAL						17.000		SION		_	OTHE	R		
SUBMISS	SION			PRE	TEXT	JRE			FIRST PR	-					_	NEEK	ING C	HANG	SE.				
								,	APPEAR	CAN	SE E	VAL	UAI	ION		- 2							
					0.0			IDONIO	WND TE	~	- 11.1	-0-					100				200		USTOMER
)					UR	SANIZAI	ION SO	JRCING	AND TEX	CLUH	EIN	FURI	MAII	NI/		- 4	1000	E-TEX			100000	RESENTATI	
-								8								- 2	-	RREC		- 20	SIGN	ATURE AN	D DATE
_								8								- 19			DCEED				
3								(c)								-			TANE	_			
								8									96.00	SUBM	300				
8								8								9	- 20-		ED TO	8			
								c.									ETCH	/TOO	L/EDM	ĕ.			
									COL	OPI	EVΛ	LIIA	TION	J		7.	2.01						
- 3	T	RISTI	ATH TE	S DA	TA		8	is .				LUA		*:		F	- 10		_	META	LUC	COLOR	
COLOR	911	Com	MOLO	J DA		MASTER	MASTER	MATERIAL	MATERIAL	HUE VALUE CHE			ROMA GLOSS			BRILLIANCESHIPE		SOFT THE STATE	PART				
_	DL*	Da*	Db*	DE*	CMC	NUMBER	DATE	TYPE	SOURCE	RED	YEL	GRN	BLU	LIGHT	DARK	GRAY	CLEAN	HIGH	LOW	нозн	LOW	SUFFIX	DISPOSITIO
		-	-			1,0,0,000										-						00(110)	5.0. 50111
- 1																							
	- 3								0 0														
								Ô															
			_					2				.0 20											
	- 3						9	80	S. 9.		S 1	8 0			80 1	8 0	100		80 1	8 0	. 90	11	
- 8	- 8	- 8	- 8	- 8	- 8		× -	8	- S		8	8 8			8 1	8 8	8		8 3	8 8	ê	1	
4 53	- 13	- 13	13	13	13		2	62	S		8 3	3 8	- 3		8 3	8 8	3		8 3	8 8		8	
COMMEN	NTS:																						
																				-	- Y	an	nple
																				-	_/		
												93											
ORGANI	ZATI	ON					PHONE N	0.	DATE			AUTH	ORIZ	ED CI	JSTON	ER.						DATE	

Element 13 Appearance Approval							
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible						
Required when appearance requirements are specified in the Design Record.	Missing or incomplete data when appearance requirements are specified.						



14. Sample Production Parts

<u>Definition</u>: Sample parts from the initial production run (PPAP run) with the exact number required being defined by the customer.

Purpose: To ensure that the product being produced on the line meets the customer's expectations and requirements.

How to: Fill out all the appropriate information on the Sample Part label and attach it to the sample part. Take pictures of the part and include them in the Sample Part tab.



Part Number: Unique identifier and revision letter assigned to a part.

Part Print Revision: Iteration of the design record used to product sample part.

Material Revision: Iteration of the material used to product sample part.

Supplier Name: Name of supplier that produced sample part.

Supplier Code: Unique code (typically a Cage Code) identifying the supplier.

6

Supplier Inspected By & Date: Supplier personnel who performed inspection and date.

Reason: Reason for providing a sample product.

Note: Option for supplier personnel to include additional information.



14. Sample Production Parts

STOP!

MOVE TO QUALITY HOLD

instructions for using this label:

This label is to be secured to all four sides of all the dunnage for the **Quality Hold** material. This label must be printed on **YELLOW** 8.5X11 paper so that it will be clearly visible.

INSPECTION VERIFIC	CATION REQUIRED
Part Number	2584771
Part Print Revision	E
Material Revision	F
Supplier Name	RGBSI Aerospace & Defense
Supplier Code	8CGW6
Supplier Inspected By & Date	John Smith
Reason (as applicable):	
PTR / PPAP	Check box for PTR Submission
CAR#	
Deviation #	
Special Inspection Required	☑ Check box if special inspection required
fety Items - Certification Required	☐ Check box if certifications required
First Shipment, New Revision	Check box if first shipment of a new revision
Note:	
	1

Ensure information is filled out correctly. Check the boxes as needed. Follow instructions on label for printing and attaching to sample parts.

Element 14 Sample Parts							
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible						
A PPAP must be performed on production parts.	Missing or incomplete PPAP.						
Correct number of sample parts must be supplied as specified by the customer.	Incomplete number of sample parts.						
PPAP Sample Parts Label required on all samples parts or boxes containing sample parts.	Sample Part Label missing or missing appropriate information (Part/Supplier/PO).						



15. Master Sample

<u>**Definition**</u>: An official sample signed off by customer and supplier that is used to train operators on subjective inspections such as visual or for noise.

Purpose: Master sample required for each manufacturing cell, mold cavity, machine, etc.


- Used as a benchmark for process control and qualifying inspection procedures.
- Must be stored and identified with part number and approval date for the life of the product.

SUPPLIER NAME SUPPLIER CODE	2	PART NUMBER: PART NAME: ENGINEERING CHANG	(4) ELEVEL (5)		1	Supplier Name : Name of supplier that produced sample part.
Supplier is required to visually document th 1.) Document how the parts are 2.) Document the parts as a wh	a labeled. To include any date	codes, vendor codes, etc final state in which they are	if applicable)	LLC.	2	Supplier Code : Unique code (typically a Cage Code) identifying the supplier.
	6				3	Part Number: Unique identifier and revision letter assigned to a part.
	PICTURES OF MASTI	ER SAMPLE PART			4	Part Name (Nomenclature): Descriptive title or label for a part.
					5	Engineering Change Level: Current revision level of the part's design record.
PRINT NAME SIGNATU	RE III	E	DATE		6	Picture of Master Sample Labeling: Image of label to be attached to this form.



15. Master Sample

<u>How to:</u> Add a picture of the master sample to the form shown below and fill out all the relevant information.




- 7
- Pictures of Master Sample
 Part: Attached images of
 completed Master Sample.
- Print Name: Printed name of personnel who completed this form.
- 9
 - **Signature**: Signature of personnel who completed this form.
- 10
- **Title**: Title of personnel who completed this form.



Date: Date of when this form is completed by personnel.



15. Master Sample



Supplier is required to visually document the Master Sample (PPAP Parts):

- 1.) Document how the parts are labelled. To include any date codes, vendor codes, etc.. (if applicable)
- 2.) Document the parts as a whole what they look like in the final state in which they are provided to AM General LLC.

NOTURES OF MASTER SAMPLE LABOURG



STC	P!
MOVE TO QU	IALITY HOL
	PILLIT TIOL
NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	-
CONTRACTOR OF THE REAL PROPERTY.	WANTED DOOR LINE
Ter Senter	258670
Part Number Part Print Number	Justice
Tel Senter	26601
Tel Senter	318401 1 1001 to 100 to 5 feb
Tel Senter	PARTY I TOWN
Tel Senter	PROBLEM 1 THE STATE OF THE ST
Tel Senter	JABACH I I MIND A man b Path MIN 1999 Lake World
Part Number Part From Resides Male Ad Number Seaghtr (lath	JAMES A
Part Number Part From Resides Male Ad Number Seaghtr (lath	JABON E E Militi for most & February E 1999 Letter Modelle
Part Number Part From Resides Male Ad Number Seaghtr (lath	JABON E E Militi for most & February E 1999 Letter Modelle
Part Number Part From Resides Male Ad Number Seaghtr (lath	JABON E E Militi for most & February E 1999 Letter Modelle

Ensure information is filled out correctly. Pictures need to be clear and all pictured wording legible. Place pictures of Master Sample Labeling and Master Sample Part here.

PICTURES OF MASTER SAMPLE PART



ATTEMPT OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		F1.2.15		
CTENT NAME	SEGNATURE	Title	DATE	
201000000000000000000000000000000000000				

Example

Printed Copy Uncontrolled.

1AF0003 15. Master Sample Photo
Letest Edition on AWG Intranet Site (http://ride.amgeneralintranet.com)

Rev 8/25/2023


Element 15 Master Sample			
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible		
Photo documentation of conforming part shall be included.	Photos missing date codes or vendor codes.		



<u>Definition</u>: A list of Checking Fixtures for checking parts that shows a picture of the Checking aids.

Purpose: Providing documentation that all aspects of the checking aid agree with the part's

dimensional requirements.





How to: Fill out the relevant information for the supplier, part number, and fixture details. Add a picture of all checking aids and fixtures.

AM GENE MISSION READY * FUTUR		Checking A	Aids				
SUPPLIER NAME	1		PART NUMBER:	3			
SUPPLIER CODE	2		PART NAME:	4			
TOOL / FIXTURE NUMBER: DATE: 6	5		ENGINEERING CHAI	NGE LEVEL 7			
	Supplier is required to identify and document checking aids with photo in PPAP workbook						
	PHOTO OF CHECKING AIDS						
		8					
PRINT NAME	SIGNATURE	TITLE		DATE			


- Supplier Name: Name of supplier that produced sample part.
- Supplier Code: Unique code (typically a Cage Code) identifying the supplier.
- Part Number: Unique identifier and revision letter assigned to a part.

- Part Name: Name given to a part or product.
- **Tool / Fixture Number:** Unique identifier for tool / fixture in this form.
- 6 Date: Date of tool / fixture being documented.

- **Engineering Change Level:** What level is the part's design record currently on.
- **Photo of Checking Aids:** Attached image of tool / fixture in this form.



How to: Fill out the information at the bottom in reference to the prints related to the tooling or fixtures pictured above them.





Print Name: Printed name of personnel who completed this form.



Signature: Signature of personnel who completed this form.



Title: Title of personnel who completed this form.



Date: Date of when this form is completed by personnel.



SUPPLIER NAME	RGBSI Aerospace & Defense	PART NUMBER:	2584771	
SUPPLIER CODE	8CGW6	PART NAME:	Base, Mounting	
TOOL / FIXTURE NUI	MBER:	ENGINEERING		E
DATE:	ů.			- 12

Supplier is required to identify all AM General Owned Tools & Fixtures and document with Photo in PPAP workbook

PHOTO OF AM GENERAL OWNED TOOLING AND FIXTURES

Ensure information is filled out correctly. Pictures need to be clear, all pictured wording legible, and must contain a tag or identification that clearly shows fixtures are AMG owned. Place pictures of Tooling and Fixtures here.



Example


		723222	27,02
PRINT NAME	SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE
1 3 COTT TO MILE	DIGITATIONE	TITLE	DATE

Element 16 Ch	ecking Aids
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible
If requested by the customer, the organization shall submit with the PPAP submission any part-specific assembly or component checking aid.	Failure to provide evidence of preventive maintenance.
Measurement system analysis studies, e.g., Gage R&R, accuracy, bias, linearity, stability studies, shall be conducted in compliance with customer requirements.	
The organization shall certify that all aspects of the checking aid agree with part dimensional requirements.	
The organization shall document all released engineering design changes that have been incorporated in the checking aid at the time of submission.	
The organization shall provide for preventive maintenance of any checking aids for the life of the part.	



JLTV PPAP Workflow

Next PPAP Element: 17. Customer Specific Requirements






<u>**Definition**</u>: All documentation or records, including all test reports and test documentation, which satisfy fulfillment of customer-specified requirements.

Purpose: Ensures that all JLTV and commodity-specific requirements are met prior to part shipment.

How to: As approved by AM General Supplier Quality, ensure all commodity / process – specific

JLTV requirements are met, including:

- AM General Supplier Quality Manual
 - AM General Fastener Requirements
 - AM General Weld Requirements
 - AM General Paint/Coating Requirements
 - AM General Armor Material Requirements
 - AM General Radiographic Inspection Requirements





JLTV Welding Requirements – Attachment 1059: Welding Standards

- All welds shall be free of debris and defects in accordance with the documents listed in the tables below.
- A supplier may utilize alternate standards with AM General approval if equivalent or better quality and performance can be demonstrated and verified.
- Materials covered under MIL- DTL-46100, Armor Plate, Steel, Wrought, and High-Hardness (HH) or MIL-DTL-12560.
 - On any ballistic surface 5/8 inch (15.9mm) from the toe of the weld, at any location of weldment, the Brinell hardness shall not be lower than that permitted minimum hardness requirements if the materials are qualified under MIL-DTL-46100 or MIL-DTL-12560.

STRUCTURAL WELDING STANDARDS					
Structural Steel, Fusion Welding	American Welding Society (AWS) D1.1/D1.1M				
Structural Aluminum, Fusion Welding and Friction Stir Welding	American Welding Society (AWS) D1.2/D1.2M				
Structural Sheet Metal, Fusion Welding	American Welding Society (AWS) D1.3/D1.3M				
Stainless Steel, Fusion Welding	American Welding Society (AWS) D1.6/D1.6M				
Titanium, Fusion Welding	American Welding Society (AWS) D1.9/D1.9M				
AUTOMOTIVE WELDING S	TANDARDS				
Steel, Resistance Spot Welding	American Welding Society (AWS) D8.1M				
Steel, Arc Welding	American Welding Society (AWS D8.8M				
Steel, Laser Beam Welding	American Welding Society (AWS) D8.10M				
Aluminum, Arc Welding	American Welding Society (AWS D8.14M				
Steel, Resistance Spot Welding	American Welding Society (AWS) D8.1M				
ROBOTIC WELDING STA	NDARDS				
Specification for Robotic Arc Welding Safety	American Welding Society (AWS) D16.1M/D16.1				
Guide for Components of Robotic Arc Welding Installations	American Welding Society (AWS) D16.2M/D16.2				
Risk Assessment Guide for Robotic Arc Welding	American Welding Society (AWS) D16.3M/D16.3				
Specification for the Qualification of Robotic Arc Welding Personnel	American Welding Society (AWS) D16.4M/D16.4				
Robotic Arc Welding Personnel, Certification	American Welding Society (AWS) QC19				

WELDING STANDARDS FOR OTHER APPLICATIONS					
Specification for Welding Procedure and Performance Qualification	American Welding Society (AWS) B2.1/B2.1M				
Sheet Metal Welding Code	American Welding Society (AWS) D9.1/D9.1M				
Specification for Welding Earthmoving, Construction, Agricultural, and Ground-Based Material Handling Equipment	American Welding Society (AWS) D14.3/D14.3M				
Specification for Fusion Welding for Aerospace Applications	American Welding Society (AWS) D17.1/D17.1M				
Specification for Resistance Welding for Aerospace Applications	American Welding Society (AWS) D17.2/D17.2M				
Specification for Friction Stir Welding of Aluminum Alloys for Aerospace Applications	American Welding Society (AWS) D17.3/D17.3M				
Recommended Practices for Resistance Welding	American Welding Society (AWS) C1.1M/C1.1				
Carbon and Low-Alloy Steels, Resistance Welding	American Welding Society (AWS) C1.4M/C1.4				
Friction Welding of Metals	American Welding Society (AWS) C6.2/C6.2M				
MILITARY WELDING STA	NDARDS				
Armor and High Strength Steel, Fusion Welding	JLTV MIL-STD-3040A Interim (Attachment 0182)				
Armor Grade Aluminum, Fusion Welding	MIL-STD-3057				
BOILER AND PRESSURE VE	SSEL CODE				
Section IX qualification standard for welding and brazing procedures, welders, braziers, and welding and brazing operators	ASME Section IX				



<u>CFAT requirements (Section 1.5 AM General SQM):</u>

- CFAT requirements noted on part prints must be tested and met prior to PPAP approval.
- CFAT testing required on a minimum of 2 component samples for each test.
- CFAT units taken from 1st 10 component units produced.

Interim PPAP approval:

- Supplier must submit both PSW and Interim Recovery Worksheet for materials in need of Interim approval.
- CFAT interim approval must be received prior to part point of assembly.
- JLTV Specific: Interim approval only granted for 120 days max.

COTS (Commercial Off The Shelf):

- Supplier is expected to demonstrate / affirm part conformance with supporting PPAP documents or Certificates of Conformance (CoC).
- If all 18 PPAP elements are not available, the supplier shall provide the minimum PPAP elements (1, 2, 3, 9, 14, 15, 17, and 18).



How to: If no Customer-Specific Requirements are applicable, suppliers must record on the Cover Page that Element 17 is Non-Applicable.




Element 17 Records of Compliance				
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible			
The organization shall have records of compliance to all applicable customer-specific requirements. For bulk materials, applicable customer-specific requirements shall be documented on the Bulk Material Requirements Checklist.	Missing or incomplete documentation for customer-specific requirements.			
Component First Article Test (CFAT) Documentation shall be included. CFAT documentation shall include a matrix summary of the results of each test (to include raw data), and any applicable calibration or certification documentation.				



<u>**Definition**</u>: Supplier completes the Part Submission Warrant (PSW) to verify fulfillment of all AIAG/AMG / JLTV production and shipment requirements.

Purpose: To show conformance with all guidance, requirements, standards, and specifications.

<u>How to:</u> Supplier provides details for all required fields in the PSW and signs, verifying that all JLTV submission requirements are met for the PPAP part / assembly.





How to: Check that the following information has been auto-filled correctly from the Information tab of the workbook. It is important that this information is accurate.

Part Name	1	Part Number	7	Revision	8
Drawing Number	(2)	Eng. Dwg. Change Level	9	Dated	(10)
Purchase Order No.	(3)	Tool Order No.	(11)	Dated	(12)
Scheduling Agreement	4				
Checking Aid / Test Equip. No.	5	Change Level / Dated	(13)	Weight (kg)	(14)
Additional Engineering Changes	6	Dated 1	5	-	

- Part Name (Nomenclature): Descriptive title or label for a part.
- **Drawing Number**: Unique identifier and revision letter assigned to a drawing.
- Purchase Order No.: Unique identifying number assigned to the sample part's P.O.
- **Scheduling Agreement:** Timing agreement between customer and supplier.
- Checking Aid / Test Equip. **No.**: Apply If one is used for dimensional inspection.

- **Additional Engineering** 6 Changes: Engineering changes not yet incorporated.
- Part Number: Unique number assigned to identify the sample part.
- **Revision**: Latest iteration of 8 the design record that part must comply to.
- Eng. Dwg. Change Level: Approved level (revision) of addendums to the drawing.
- Dated: Date that Eng. Dwg. 10) Change Level was approved and established.

- Tool Order No.: Identifier of 11 any orders placed for tools involved with part.
- 12 **Dated**: Date of Tool Order No.
- **Change Level / Dated:** 13 Dated approved change of part.
- 14) Weight (kg): Weight of part individually, per kilogram.
- 15) **Dated**: Date of part change level.



<u>How to:</u> Check that the following information has been auto-filled correctly from the Information tab of the workbook. It is important that this information is accurate. Also, check the correct boxes below the information.

pelow	the information.										
Supplier	Manufacturing Information			Customer Information							
Supplier Name Supplier Code				Customer Name / Division							
	(16)	(1	7	23							
Street Addr				Buyer							
18				24)							
City	State	Zip	Country	Application							
	19 20	(21)	22)			25					
	es this part contain any restricted or re e plastic parts identified with appropria			Yes Yes	No No	X N/A X N/A	Unless otherwise stated, all JLTV parts will be marked N/A				
16	Supplier Name: Name of supplier that produced sample part.	21	Zip : Zip collocation.	ode of supplier's	26	Does this	ole Substances: part or contain, materials.				
17	Supplier Code: Unique code (typically a Cage Code) identifying the supplier.	22	Country: supplier's	Country of location.	27	II .	king Codes : Are In marking codes for codes for codes.				
18	Street Address: Location of supplier.	23	Name / div	r Name / Division: vision of supplier of tted sample part.							
19	City: City of supplier's location.	24	contractua	ersonnel / firm who ally solidified / supplier relations.							
20	State: State of supplier's location.	25		on: Enter the model cle name, or engine,							

transmission, etc.



<u>How to:</u> Check all the relevant boxes that explain the reason for the submission. Also, fill out the information for lot number and quantity.

REASON FOR SUBMISSION (check at least one)

- 28 Initial Submission
- 29 Engineering Change(s)
- 30 Tooling: Transfer, Replacement, Refurbishment, Additional
- 31 Correction of Discrepancy
- 32 Tooling Inactive > than 1 year
- 33 Certificate of Conformance:

LOT#

39)

QTY

- 34 Change to Optional Construction or Material
- 35 Sub-Supplier or Material Source Change
- 36 Change in Part Processing
- 37 Parts Produced at Additional Location
- 38 Other- please specify below

(40)

Per JPO Request, this box must show 6 parts to represent the 6 parts submitted as part of the PPAP package.



Initial Submission: PPAP is initiated due to an initial submission.



Engineering Change(s):

PPAP is initiated due to an engineering change.



Tooling: PPAP is initiated due to new / refurbished tooling.



Correction of Discrepancy: PPAP is initiated due to a corrective action.



Tooling Inactive > than 1 year: PPAP is initiated due to tooling inactivity > 1 year.



CoC: PPAP is initiated due to the need of a Certificate of Conformance.



Change to Construction or Material: PPAP is initiated due to change of material.



Sub-Supplier or Material Source Change: PPAP is initiated due to supplier change.



Change in Part Processing:
PPAP is initiated due to a process change.



Parts Produced at Additional Location: PPAP is initiated due to location change.



Other: PPAP is initiated due to a reason not listed here.



Lot #: Designated unique code identifying the lot produced under this PPAP.



Qty: Quantity of parts under this PPAP.



are requested for submittal.

18a. Part Submission Warrant

How to: Check all the relevant boxes that explain the submission level and the submission results.

ubmission Level (check one)																
Level 1 - Warrant only (and for designal Level 2 - Warrant with product sample	ited appearance items, a	an Appearance Approv	val Rep	ort) su	bmitte	d to	cust	omer								
Level 2 - Warrant with product samples and limited supporting data submitted to customer.																
Level 3 - Warrant with product samples and complete supporting data submitted to customer.																
Level 4 - Warrant and other requireme	nts as defined by custor	mer. 1	2 3	4	5 6	7	8	9	10	11	12 1	3 1	14 1	5 16	5 17	18
						Τ						Т			\Box	Т
Level 5 - Warrant with product sample	s and complete support	ing data reviewed at s	upplier	's mar	ufactu	ıring	loca	tion.								
Level P - Warrant and other Prototype	roquiroments as define	d by sustamor														
Level P - Warrant and Other Prototype	requirements as defined	u by customer.														
ubmission Results	49		50						51							
The Results For 48 dimensional measuren		l and functional tests		4	arance	crite	eria			tatis	tical p	roce	ess na	ckag	P	
These results meet all design requirements:	52 Yes 53	Company of the Compan	o- Expla	-			- III	L		cacio	relear p		200 pc	ckap		
mese results meet an design requirements.			O Explo	inacion	ricquii	cuj										
Level 1: Checkbox for	Lava	I 5: Checkbox fo	or		٦.				tatio	-tic	al P					
Submission of PPAP		nission of PPAP			1 (5	1									_
package.		ickage.				All statistical process package meet design requirements.										
					4			<u> </u>	ICCL	uc.	Jigiri		14116		1113.	
Level 2: Checkbox for Submission of PPAP		I P: Checkbox for			1 4			Y	es:	Co	nfirr	ทร	tha	t all	res	sult
				ackage 52 Yes : Confirms that all re meet all design requiren												
package.	used	for prototypes.						L''	1000	. uii	400	<u> </u>		94III		011
Level 3: Checkbox for	Dime	nsional Measure	ement	ts:				N	l o : (Cor	nfirm	is t	that	all	res	ults
Submission of PPAP	48 All me	All measurements meet design 53 do not meet all design				sigr	า									
package.	requir	requirements.														
Level 4: Checkbox for	Mate	rial and Functi	onal		7				•							
Submission of PPAP		s: All measurem	-													
package.		design requirer														
<u> </u>				··	-											
Checkboxes: For customer to check for which elements		earance Criteria														
to check for which elements	Turn appe	arance criteria r	neet		1											

design requirements.



supplier's organization.

18a. Part Submission Warrant

How to: Make sure to read the declaration before filling out the rest of the information. Fill the rest out with accurate information and sign.

at with	i accurate illiorifiation a	na sign.						
Declara	ation							
I affirm t	hat the samples represented by this warrant are rep	resentative of our p	oarts which were made by a p	rocess that meets all AM Gene	eral specific r	equirements,		
drawings	s, specifications and meet all PPAP 4th Edition Requ	rements. I also cert	ify that documented evidence	of such compliance is on file	and available	for review.		
I further at a rate	affirm these samples are made from specified mate of pcs/hr. I have cle		duction tooling with no opera ceptions from this declaration		oduction pro	cess produced	i	
Explan	ation / Comments			55				
List Mold	s / Cavities / Production Processes:			56				
Organizat	ion Authorized Signature		57				Date	58
Print Nam	ne (59)		Phone No.	(61)			Fax	63
Title	60		Email	62				
54	Rate: Affirms the rate of production in parts per hour.	58	Date: Date of organization Authorized Signature.				l: Email of organization rized personnel.	
55	Comments: Allows supplier to provide a brief explanation or comment.	59	Print Name: Printed name of organization authorized personnel.		63	_	ix number ation authonel.	
56	List : A list of molds, cavities, and production processes used for submitted part.	60	Title: Title of averaging		•			
57	Signature : Signature of authorized personnel from	61	Phone No.: Pho organization aut					

personnel.



<u>How to:</u> The last part of the form is only for the customer to fill out. It will record the PPAP approval type and will be signed by the customer's Supplier Quality Engineer. Ensure the information is filled out accurately.

		For Customer Use Only		
PPAP Warrant Disposition:	Approved Interim App	Rejected	Comment:	
Supplier Quality Engineer Signature Print Name	67		69	

- Approved: Checkbox to identify customer approves PPAP package.
- Rejected: Checkbox to identify customer rejects PPAP package.
- 66 Interim Approval: Checkbox to identify customer approves PPAP package for part's process for current run of production only.
- Signature: Signature from Supplier Q.E. personnel.

- Printed Name: Printed name of Supplier Q.E. personnel.
- **Comment**: Supplier Q.E. may include comments in this cell.



Part Name Base, Mou Drawing Number 25847	nting		Part Numbe	of and an artist of the second	2584771		Revision	Ε
				hange Level	E		Dated	11/18/1980
	00123456		Tool Order		10025647		Dated	11/18/1980
cheduling Agreement	SA123456							
hecking Aid / Test Equip. I			Change Levi			3/2023	Weight (kg)	156.0000
Additional Engineering Cha	nges N/A		Dat	ted S	8/2023			
Do Technical R	lequirements contain an	IPA/IPI/FAT/QAP	?	Yes	No	X N/	4	
Supplier Manufactu	ring Information			Customer Inf	ormation	Ensure in	formation	isfilled
upplier Name	5	upplier Code		Customer Name /	Division	correctly.	Check the	correct
RGBSI Aerospace & Delense BCGW6 AMG boxes for the PPAP. Make sure								
treet Address				Buyer		to sign th	e PSW befo	ore .
850 Presidential Drive Dity	State	Zin C	ountry	John Smith Application		submittir	ig.	
airbom	OH		United States	Bracket on Ship				
11.1	1,755			Backet Of Stip			1	
	tain any restricted or rep			Yes	No	X N/		
Are plastic parts id	entified with appropriate	tSO marking cod	les?	Yes	No	X N/	1	
REASON FOR SUBM	ISSION (check at I	east one)						
X Initial Submiss				N/A	Change to O	ptional Construc	tion or Materia	al
N/A Engineering O	range(s)			N/A		or Material Sou		
	fer, Replacement, Refurb	ishment, Addition	nal	N/A		rt Processing		
N/A Correction of I				N/A		ed at Additional	Location	
-	e>than 1 year			N/A	Other- pleas	e specify below		
N/A Certificate of 0	onformance:	LOT# N/A		QTY N/A				
Submission Level (c	heck one)							
The second secon	ant only (and for designat	ted appearance it	tems, an Appea	rance Approval Res	ort) submitted	to customer.		
	ant with product samples							
	ant with product samples							
	ant and other requiremen					7 8 9 10	11 12 13 1	14 15 16 17 18
14/2								
N/A Level 5 - Warra	ant with product samples	and complete su	pporting data	reviewed at supplie	r's manufacturis	ng location.		
N/A Level P - Warra	ant and other Prototype	requirements as o	de fined by cust	omer.				
Submission Results								
The Results For:	dimensional measure me	ents X n	naterial and fund	ctional tests	X appearance c	rite na X	statistical pipo	ess package
These results meet all de		X Yes	N/A No		lanation Requires		,	
	180 00/200							
Declaration								
Laffirm that the samples repre	are made from specified mater							
drawings, specifications and m		ady written any excep						
drawings, specifications and m								
dnawings, specifications and m I further affirm these samples at a rate of 20								
dnawings, specifications and m I further affirm these samples. at a rate of 20								
drawings, specifications and m Hurther affirm those samples at a rate of 20 Explanation / Comm	nents N/A	N/A						
drawings, specifications and m 16ur ther affirm those samples at a rate of 20 Explanation / Comm ist Molds / Cavities / Prod	nents N/A uction Processes:	N/A GNATURE HERE					Date V	YYY-MM-DD
diseings, specifications and militarithm affirm those samples at a rate of 20 Explanation / Commiss Molds / Cavities / Prod Organization Authorized Signification Authorize	nents N/A uction Processes:	N/A GNATURE HERE	Phone No.	ESSE 122-ME22			,	YYY-MM-00
duargo, specifications and m for the attemtione samples diaration 20 Explanation / Comm ist Molds / Cavities / Prod forganization Authorized Signification and the Doe	nents N/A uction Processes: INSERT 50			(555) 123-4567			,	'YYY-MM-00 123) 456-7899
du airge, specifications and m Hor the atlim three samples et a ratir of 20 Explanation / Comm int Molds / Cavities / Prod Organization Authorized Signification Authorize	nents N/A uction Processes:	GNATURE HERE	Email	Jane.Doe@gmail.	mom		,	
durings, specifications and six librative alimit these semples are six at a six of 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	nents N/A uction Processes: gnature INSERT 50 to ality Engineer	GNATURE HERE	Email For Custom	lane.Doe@gmail.oner Use Only	pm.		,	
durings, specifications and six librative alimit these semples are six at a six of 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	nents N/A uction Processes: gnature INSERT 50 to ality Engineer	Approved	Email For Custom	Jane.Doe@gmail.	om Comment:		,	
deserge, specification and interfere affects the supplies. Explanation / Commiss Moles / Cavities / Production Authorized Signification Authorize	nents N/A N/A N/A NOTE: NOTE: NAME: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	GNATURE HERE	Email For Custom	lane.Doe@gmail.oner Use Only			,	
duraings, specifications and in the first at limit these samples of a state of 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	nents N/A N/A N/A NOTE: NOTE: NAME: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	Approved	Email For Custom	lane.Doe@gmail.oner Use Only			,	
duraings, specifications and in blue the allow these samples. 20	nents N/A N/A N/A NOTE: NOTE: NAME: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	Approved	Email For Custom	lane.Doe@gmail.oner Use Only			,	
duraings, specifications and in blue the allow these samples. 20	nents N/A N/A N/A NOTE: NOTE: NAME: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	Approved	Email For Custom	lane.Doe@gmail.oner Use Only			Fax [123j 4567899
du airge, specifications and m Hot the allim three samples et a ratir of 20 Explanation / Comm int Molds / Cavities / Prod Organization Authorized Signification Authorize	nents N/A N/A N/A NOTE: NOTE: NAME: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	Approved	Email For Custom	lane.Doe@gmail.oner Use Only		Ev	Fax [

All boxes must have a response. If a response is not applicable, write N/A.


Element 18 Part Submission Warrant (PSW)							
JLTV Requirements	Inadmissible						
Approved Warrant with both Supplier/Producer Management Approval signature and AM General signature.	Warrant missing supplier/producer signature.						
Evidence of all elements of PPAP completed (for Submission Level 3).	For the Submission Level3 - no evidence of complete elements.						
For Interim Approvals: Warrant should include an Action Plan to achieve full approval with target dates and owners for each action.	No action plan for interim approval levels.						
PSW must have all fields completed, any areas not applicable should be indicated as such.	Warrant has missing or incomplete information fields.						



<u>**Definition**</u>: Supplier completes the Interim Approval Worksheet to verify fulfillment of all AIAG/AMG / JLTV production and shipment requirements for Interim PPAP Approvals.


<u>Purpose</u>: To show conformance with all guidance, requirements, standards, and specifications laid out in AM General's Interim Approval requirements for PPAP.

<u>How to:</u> Supplier provides details for all required fields in the worksheet and signs, verifying that all JLTV Interim Approval submission requirements are met for the PPAP part / assembly. This sheet should be submitted alongside element 18, the Part Submission Warrant





How to: Check that the following information has been auto-filled correctly from the Information tab of the workbook. It is important that this information is accurate. Any boxes that do not auto-fill must be filled out manually.




- Supplier Name: Name of the supplier that produced the part.
- Supplier Code: Unique code (typically a Cage Code) identifying the supplier.
- Resubmission Date: Date of resubmission after Interim Approval.
- Interim Expiration Date:
 Expiration Date for Interim
 Approval.

- Application: Enter the model year, vehicle name, or engine, transmission, etc.
- Part Name
 (Nomenclature): Descriptive title or label for a part.
- Part Number: Unique number assigned to identify the sample part.
- Revision: Latest iteration of the design record that part must comply to.

- Dated: Date that Eng.
 Dwg. Revision Level was approved and established.
- Submission Level: PPAP Level being submitted after Interim.



How to: Provide detailed reasoning for Interim Approval Request, what issues are being faced causing the interim request, and what actions are being take to achieve full Level 3 PPAP Approval.



1


Reason For Request: Detailed reason explaining the cause of an Interim Approval Request



Issue: Table used to identify issues, Action Plans, and Completion Date



How to: Provide details on how the interim issues are being addressed on the Pre-Launch Control Plan. Then fill in contact information for the authorized personnel submitting the Interim Approval Request.



- Issues Addressed: Detailed description of how issues are addressed.
- Organization Authorized Signature:
 Signature of authorized personnel from supplier's organization.
- Print Name: Printed name of organization authorized personnel.
- Title: Title of organization authorized personnel.

- Phone No.: Phone number of organization authorized personnel.
- **Email**: Email of organization authorized personnel.
- **Date**: Date of organization Authorized Signature.
- Fax: Fax number of organization authorized personnel.



How to: The last part of the form is only for the customer to fill out. It will record the PPAP approval type and will be signed by the customer's Supplier Quality Engineer. Ensure the information is filled out accurately.

tomer Use Only
Rejected
Comment: 4

- Interim Worksheet Disposition:
 Check boxes for "Approved" or
 "Rejected" Interim Status.
- Supplier Quality Engineer Signature:
 Signature of authorized personnel from customer's organization.
- 3

Print Name: Printed name of organization authorized personnel.

4

Comment: Supplier Q.E. may include comments in this cell.



Lessons Learned

The following examples are commonly occurring issues found during the first round of PPAP Reviews:

Element	Issue
Control Plan	Characteristics, tools, gages not listed
Dimensional Reports	Wrong tolerances listed for the note
Material Test Reports	Material Certifications not directly linked to characteristics on the Drawing
Master Sample Part	Part labels missing Cage code requirement on the drawing
Part Submission Warrant	Fill in N/A on empty boxes, include quantity of 6 for PPAP package
PFD/PFMEA/CPLAN	Misalignment between documents



Discussion / Q&A



PPAP Is A Living Process

PPAP is NOT a "Check the Box" Process; It is the Way We Do Business.

The various PPAP Elements, especially the FMEAs, are a data base of lessons learned that apply to all similar products, both current and new. (UPI & Transfer)

Per SAE J 1739 the supplier must have a risk priority number reduction (RPN) process. Every RPN change drives a change to the PPAP documentation.

Every corrective action, either internal or external, is accompanied by a change to the PFMEA & Control Plan and in many cases the DFMEA, Flow Plan, and Process Readiness Documentation.









Thank You

Engineering a Connected Future © www.rgbsiaero.com

Tyler Rigsby
Director, Engineering Services
tyler.rigsby@rgbsiaero.com
(937) 238-2587